	Page 1992
1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE 11TH JUDICIAL
_	CIRCUIT IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
2	CINCOII IN THIS I ON SIME COUNTY, THE
3	GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION
4	
	Case No. 00-01706 CA 22
5	
	LYNN FRENCH,
6	<u></u>
_	Plaintiff,
7	
0	vs.
8	PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORATED,
9	("PHILIP MORRIS U.S.A.")
	R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY,
10	LORILLARD TOBACCO CO., and
	BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOBACCO
11	CORP., Individually and as Successor
	to the AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY,
12	
_	Defendants.
13	x
14	PROCEEDINGS DEFORE
15	PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE FREDRICKA SMITH
16	VOLUME 14
17	Thursday, June 13, 2002
- '	1:30 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
	73 West Flagler Street
24	Courtroom 6-2
3.5	Miami, Florida 33130
25	

Page 1993	Page 19
1 APPEARANCES:	1 PROCEEDINGS
2 GROVER WEINSTEIN & TROP P.A. 7th Floor, Concord Building	2
3 66 West Flagler Street Miami, Florida 33130	3 THE COURT: Have a seat. A couple of or
4 Phone: (305)377-4840	4 jurors were running a little late, but they're
By: MARVIN WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 5 ADAM TROP, ESQ.	5 all here now.
RHONDA WEINSTEIN, ESQ.	6 So bring them in.
6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7	7 MR. TROP: Judge, may I raise something
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, LLP 8 One West Fourth Street	8 very briefly about the next witness that's
Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101	9 coming up? Joyce Coleman, in an abundance
9 Phone: (336) 721-3549 By: JONATHAN ENGRAM, ESQ.	10 caution, I understand that Ms. Coleman is
10 Attorneys for Defendant R.J. Reynolds	11 African-American and we have several jurors
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, L.L.P.	12 who are African-American. It appears from he
12 2400 Miami Center 201 South Biscayne Boulevard	13 Web site that she does a lot of charity work
13 Miami, Florida 33131-4332	14 and does a lot of charity work for
Phone: (305) 358-5171 14 By: KENNETH REILLY, ESQ.	15 African-American causes.
WILLIAM GERAGHTY, ESQ.	16 THE COURT: Who is she now?
15 GAY TEDDER, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant Philip Morris Incorporated	17 MS. TEDDER: She's a corporate VP for
16 and Lorillard Tobacco Co.17 ADORNO & YOSS, P.A.	18 TWA, former.
2601 South Bayshore Drive, Suite 1600	19 THE COURT: So you're asking that they
18 Miami, Florida 33133 (305) 858-5555	20 not go into that background.
19 BY: WILLIAM C. MCCUE, ESQ.	21 Were you going to?
Attorneys for Defendant Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp., individually and as successor to the	22 MS. TEDDER: No.
American Tobacco Company 21	23 MR. TROP: And of course she talks in her
22	24 deposition about choice, that bidding process.
23 24	25 THE COURT: Were you going to go into
25	25 THE GOORT: Word you going to go mile
Page 1994	Page 19
1 INDEX	1 that?
Page:Line	i mat:
2 JOYCE COLEMAN	2 MS TEDDER: No.
DIRECT EXAMINATION	2 MS. TEDDER: No. 3 One other housekeeping matter. I think
DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. TEDDER	3 One other housekeeping matter, I think
3 BY MS. TEDDER	3 One other housekeeping matter, I think 4 the parties have now agreed on certain
3 BY MS. TEDDER 2000:23	3 One other housekeeping matter, I think 4 the parties have now agreed on certain 5 exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit
3 BY MS. TEDDER	3 One other housekeeping matter, I think 4 the parties have now agreed on certain 5 exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 6 1950, I believe, has already been admitted
3 BY MS. TEDDER	3 One other housekeeping matter, I think 4 the parties have now agreed on certain 5 exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 6 1950, I believe, has already been admitted 7 into evidence.
3 BY MS. TEDDER	3 One other housekeeping matter, I think 4 the parties have now agreed on certain 5 exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 6 1950, I believe, has already been admitted 7 into evidence. 8 And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963.
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants'
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052.
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit 2132.
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit 2132. And with respect to Defendants' Exhibit
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit 2132. And with respect to Defendants' Exhibit 1954 parties do not object and agree to pages
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit 2132. And with respect to Defendants' Exhibit 1954 parties do not object and agree to pages 1 through 5, but they do not agree that pages
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit 2132. And with respect to Defendants' Exhibit 1954 parties do not object and agree to pages 1 through 5, but they do not agree that pages 6 through 12 should be in.
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit 2132. And with respect to Defendants' Exhibit 1954 parties do not object and agree to pages 1 through 5, but they do not agree that pages 6 through 12 should be in. In fact, I think we both agree they
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit 2132. And with respect to Defendants' Exhibit 1954 parties do not object and agree to pages 1 through 5, but they do not agree that pages 6 through 12 should be in. In fact, I think we both agree they shouldn't.
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit 2132. And with respect to Defendants' Exhibit 1954 parties do not object and agree to pages 1 through 5, but they do not agree that pages 6 through 12 should be in. In fact, I think we both agree they shouldn't. THE COURT: So that's all agreed to?
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit 2132. And with respect to Defendants' Exhibit 1954 parties do not object and agree to pages 1 through 5, but they do not agree that pages 6 through 12 should be in. In fact, I think we both agree they shouldn't. THE COURT: So that's all agreed to? MS. TEDDER: Yes, ma'am.
3 BY MS. TEDDER	One other housekeeping matter, I think the parties have now agreed on certain exhibits that are being Defendants' Exhibit 1950, I believe, has already been admitted into evidence. And, Rhonda, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of these, but I believe the exhibits to which the parties have agreed are Defendant's Exhibit 1957. Defendants' Exhibit 1963. Defendants' Exhibit 1965, Defendants' Exhibit 2016, Defendants' Exhibit 2021, Defendants' Exhibit 2030. Defendants' Exhibit 2052. Defendants' Exhibit 2131. Defendants' Exhibit 2132. And with respect to Defendants' Exhibit 1954 parties do not object and agree to pages 1 through 5, but they do not agree that pages 6 through 12 should be in. In fact, I think we both agree they shouldn't. THE COURT: So that's all agreed to?

Page 1997

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

1 dispute. Exhibit 1967, the Defendants 2 indicate they object to portions of it. But 3 I've tried to ask them to identify which 4 portions they want in and we have a dispute 5 about that on who is supposed to identify what 6 goes in and what goes out. 7

THE COURT: Does it affect the next two witnesses?

MR. REILLY: No.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9_

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Let's go ahead and bring the jury in, so we'll have Ms. Coleman and then Mr. Sands.

MR. REILLY: We would submit Defendants' Exhibit 2139, which is that portion of the Surgeon General's Report that has the single sentence in it.

THE COURT: Page 65 or whatever that is? as you may recall we still have to provide to you sections -- I mean, we haven't been able to go through.

22 THE COURT: But that part is in? 23 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, I'm only saying that subject also, we're putting in other sections 24

that you will rule on and we obvious --

MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, Judge, it's in, but

in it that directly relates to it, I remember it was a separate little heading and a separate paragraph. I don't think that it's not in context. But if you want to put anything around it that you think affects the meaning of that, I'll hear you. But I remember what it looked like on the page and it seemed like it was a separate entry. I have your copy here. MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, that was my biggest problem. I won't have it until tomorrow, Judge, but it's all right? THE COURT: Here it is page 65. May have I it overnight? THE COURT: Sure, you may have it. THE CLERK: Which exhibit is that, please for ID, counsel?

THE COURT: If there's any other context

19 MR. TROP: 1-B. THE CLERK: Part of Plaintiff's 1-B the 20 21 Plaintiffs attorney will be taking it and 22 bringing it back overnight. 23 MS. TEDDER: This is the list that I

read. THE CLERK: Okav.

Page 1998

MR. REILLY: Actually, Your Honor, I think you indicated most recently that you weren't going to allow them to put in more sections, only the testimony from Dr. Richmond and Dr. --

THE COURT: I think what I said was that the portions that are relevant I think have been referred to already in the testimony of these witnesses. Now, whether the plaintiff wants to separately mark those, I mean, that's a possibility since the Defendant is separately marking your portion.

So if the Plaintiffs want to offer the portions that have already been referred to by the witnesses and actually publish them since I think they were read, I'll let the Plaintiff do that just as the Defendants' separately entered theirs.

MS. TEDDER: Defendants' Exhibit 2139 is the excerpt from the 1986 Surgeon General's Report, the health consequences of involuntary smoking, page 65. This is that portion that the court said we could submit into evidence.

MR. WEINSTEIN: We would object. We object because it's put out of context.

Page 2000

THE COURT: Okay, bring them in, please. (Jury enters courtroom.) THE COURT: Morning -- I mean, afternoon. We've actually been here for quite a while this morning, have a seat, please, everybody. But it is definitely afternoon. And we'll proceed with the next witness for the Defendant. The Plaintiff has rested. The Defendant

had called one witness out of turn, Dr. Torres, but now the Plaintiff has completed their testimony. And we're going to the other witnesses for the Defendants. Who will your next witness be?

MS. TEDDER: The defense calls Joyce Coleman, Your Honor.

THEREUPON,

JOYCE COLEMAN,

19 having been first duly sworn, was examined and 20 testified as follows:

THE COURT: Come around and have a seat in this chair.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. TEDDER:

Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Coleman. 25

Page 2003

A. Good afternoon.

5

7

- Q. Will you please formally introduceyourself to the jury?
- 4 A. My name is Joyce Coleman.
 - Q. Ms. Coleman, where do you live?
- 6 A. I live in St. Louis, Missouri.
 - Q. What do you do for a living?
- 8 A. I'm retired TWA vice-president and I've 9 since started a consulting business.
- 10 Q. Can you tell us how long you were with 11 TWA?
- 12 A. Yes. 31 years.
- Q. What is the position that you held when you retired from TWA?
- 15 A. I was the vice-president of inflight 16 services.
- 17 Q. And what year did you retire from TWA?
- 18 A. August 1st, 1999.
- 19 Q. We're going to talk about your work
- 20 history with TWA in just a couple of minutes, but
- 21 I'd like to spend a little bit of time on your
- 22 background. Can you tell the jury, tell us where
- 23 you went to college?
- 24 A. I went to college at Alcorn State
- 25 University in Lorman, Mississippi.

that?

1

5

6

7

8

9

14

16

17

18

- A. Well, there weren't smoking zones. There was smoking on the aircraft, but there were no
- 4 smoking zones in those days.
 - Q. Do you recall people smoking on the aircraft?
 - A. Yes, they did smoke.
 - Q. What do you recall about the conditions?
 - A. You know, I remember that people smoked,
- but I don't remember any kind of conditionsspecifically.
- 12 Q. You said you were a flight attendant for 13 approximately a year and a half?
 - A. Yes.
- 15 Q. After that what did you do for TWA?
 - A. Well, in 1969, I went to Chicago as a supervisor of flight attendants.
 - Q. How long did you hold that position?
- 19 A. I held that position until about
- 20 September of 1974.
- 21 Q. And what did that position involve?
- 22 A. Well, as a supervisor of flight
- 23 attendants I had from 80 to 100 flight attendants
- 24 reporting to me. And I was the person responsible
 - 5 for making sure that they had the on board

Page 2002

- 1 Q. When did you graduate from Alcorn State 2 University?
 - A. In 1965.

3

12

- 4 Q. What degree did you earn?
- 5 A. B.S. degree in chemistry.
 - Q. Did you have any post graduate education?
- A. Yes, I went to the University of Oklahoma
- 8 at Norman, Oklahoma and a I studied physical
- 9 chemistry there and completed research.
- Q. You said you spent 31 years with TWA, can you tell us, when did you begin work for TWA?
 - A. In April of 1968.
- Q. And when you went to work for TWA, what
- 14 position did you hold?
- 15 A. I was a flight attendant flying out of
- 16 the Kennedy domicile on the international
- 17 operation.
- 18 Q. How long did you hold that position?
- 19 A. Until 1969.
- Q. So that's a little over a year?
- 21 A. Little over a year.
- 22 Q. When you were a flight attendant, was
- 23 smoking allowed on board the aircraft?
- 24 A. Yes, it was.
- 25 Q. Can you tell us what you recall about

- Page 2004
- procedures correct directly from training. I had
 to do check flights periodically with them. I had
- 3 to be aware of all policies and procedures that
- 4 flight attendants worked under. I was the person
- 5 responsible for having performance discussions with
- 6 flight attendants. I had to interpret policy to
- 7 flight attendants.
- So I really had to know everything about
 what a flight attendant was supposed to do because
- I was their point of contact for answeringquestions and reviewing performance.
- 12 Q. In that position, as a supervisor of13 flight attendants, did you have occasion to review
- 14 flight attendants' personnel records?
- 15 A. Yes, I did. If I were going to have a
- 6 performance discussion with a flight attendant or 7 even if I were going to talk to a flight attendant
- 18 about good performance, I would have to have a feel
- 19 for what the person was all about. So I would do a
- thorough file review so that I could have a morelearned discussion with the person.
- Q. What did that require you then to knowabout TWA?
- A. It required me to know about all of the policy and procedures that related to flight

Page 2005

3

4

5

6

7

21

22

23

24

1

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

attendant work so that I could interpret what I was looking at. And even more importantly, so I could explain it to the flight attendants.

- O. Would that include leave records, for example?
- A. Yes, that would include leave records, any other kind of attendance reports; literally anything that related to their work.
- O. Are you familiar with the term butterfly 9 10 leave?
 - A. Yes, I am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

23

2

- Q. Can you tell us what that means?
- 13 A. A butterfly leave was the name given to 14 company-offered leave of absences for time in 15 excess of 30 days.
- 16 Q. In the position that you held above 17 flight attendants, if a flight attendant requested 18 butterfly leave, who would that request go to?
- 19 A. It would go to the domicile manager of 20 administration.
- 21 Q. Is that a position that in your career you eventually supervised? 22
 - A. Yes, I did supervise that position.
- 24 Q. If I recall what you told me, you said 25 you left this position as supervisor of flight

The flight attendant would be responsible for 2 knowing and doing on the airplane.

- Q. And how long did you hold the position of staff analyst?
 - A. Until 19 -- let's see 1976, 1977.
- Q. And after that what position did you hold with TWA?
- A. After I was a staff analyst, I went to 8 Chicago as manager of flight attendants. I'm 9 sorry, '74 to '76. Then I went back as a manager 10 of flight attendants in '76 to Chicago. 11
- 12 Q. All right. And what did the job of manager of flight attendants in Chicago -- what did 13 that job entail? 14
- A. Well, in the manager flight attendants 15 16 position, I supervised the supervisors of flight attendants, you know, the job that I had of 17 supervisors, well, I had about I think it was 10 18 people that did what I used to do. I apprised 19 20 them.

So I had responsibility for training them, for insuring that now they knew all of the policies and procedures, that they knew the philosophy, that they knew exactly what to look for when doing performance evaluations on board the

Page 2006

- attendants in 1974?
- A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. And can you just kind of describe for us what happened after that, where did you go in TWA? 4
- 5 A. Well, I went to New York in 1974 as a 6 staff analyst for inflight services, that is 7 corporate head quarters.
- 8 Q. What were your job responsibilities as a staff analyst at inflight services?
- 10 A. Well, as a staff analyst I was 11 responsible for writing serving procedures, you know, the food and beverages that are served on the aircraft. Well, for the sake of consistency with
- 14 the service, you have to give explicit guidelines and directions in the form of bulletins to the 15
- 16 flight attendants, so that he or she would know
- 17 that, you know, this is what one does first and
- 18 second, et cetera, on the aircraft.
- And we all -- I was responsible for 19 20 writing then a newsletter that we started called, 21 On the Line. And that was another communication
- 22 device that was sort of a summary of all of the
- 23 changes occurred like a thumbnail sketch of not 24 just inflight services procedures, but any other
- kind of things that impacted the flight attendant.

Page 2008

aircraft.

2 So I was responsible now to insure that these people knew all of the job performance duties 3 and all of the administrative duties. And all of 4 the accountabilities among flight attendants. 5 6

- Q. Approximately, if you recall, how many flight attendants would have been involved when you were in that position?
- 9 A. I believe I had about 1,000 flight 10 attendants reporting to me through those 10 11 supervisors.
 - Q. Did you continue with TWA after that?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. What position did you hold?
 - A. Well, in 1977 I became the regional general manager of the St. Louis domicile.
 - Q. How long did you hold that position?
 - A. I held that position until 1986.
- 19 Q. And can you tell me, what did that
- 20 position involve on a day-to-day basis?
- 21 A. Well, as regional general manager of the
- 22 St. Louis base I was now responsible for all of the
- 23 administrative functions now, the leaves that we
- 24 talked about earlier, the leaves administration,
- the supervisors of flight attendants, the overall

Page 2009

job that flight attendants did on board the aircraft, the budget associated with the people and everything else that made up that domicile base. 3 And just general the well-being of the flight 4 5 attendant group and the job that they did at that 6 base, everything.

> MS. WEINSTEIN: Excuse me, Judge, one of the jurors has indicated for a drink.

THE COURT: Do you need to be excused? I thought I heard someone coughing and every time I looked over I couldn't tell who it was. I'm sorry, if you need to take a break at any time, just let us know.

THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.

15 BY MS. TEDDER:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

1

13

16

17

22

- Q. Let's see. Could you tell us, you held 16 that position until 1986? 17
 - A. Until 1986, yes.
- Q. And you continued to work for TWA, 19 20 correct?
- A. I continued to work for TWA. In 1986 I 21 went back to New York as the staff vice-president 22 23 of inflight services.
- Q. And what would your job responsibilities 24 25 as a staff vice-president for inflight services

- Q. You held that position until when? 1
- 2 A. Until 1991.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

4

5

6

7

8 9

12

14

- Q. And what did you do after that? 3
 - A. The next job was staff vice-president of
- customer relations. 5
 - Q. And can you tell us briefly, what did that position involve?
 - A. Well, I was the liaison between the customer and the company basically, the people that flew on the planes and the company.
 - Q. How long did you hold that position?
- 12 A. Until 1995.
 - Q. After 1995 what did you do for TWA?
 - A. In 1995 I became the vice-president of inflight services.
 - Q. It was vice-president of inflight --
- 17 A. Inflight services.
 - Q. What did you do in that position?
- A. Anything and everything to do with flight 19
- 20 attendants and on board services. I was
- responsible for the selection and the training and 21
- the overall performance and the head count and the
- management through the domicile managers for the on 23
- 24 board services that I talked about earlier, for
- devising those services.

Page 2010

have been?

2 A. As staff vice-president of inflight 3 services I was responsible for developing the food and beverage service that TWA served on its 4 5 flights. Responsible for actually working collaboratively with the cabin crew and every other 6 department to make sure that the food and beverage 8 service met TWA's marketing and other needs. I was responsible for how it was packed, the order of service in serving it. The contracts that we got the stuff from for the food and beverage service. 11 The movies that went on the aircraft and where the 12

14 It was -- if it was an ongoing service I was held responsible for it. 15

movie bags were stowed, et cetera, et cetera.

- Q. And you said you worked collaboratively, I think you said with the cabin crews, correct?
- A. Well, correct. Because it wouldn't do 18 any good to develop a service that couldn't be 19 20 done. So instead of just developing it in a vacuum, you worked with representatives of the 21 people who were actually going to do it.
- Q. And that would include representatives of 23 24 the flight attendants?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 2012

Then again, it's something that you have to work collaboratively with a bunch of different 2 stakeholders in process in order to do it. 3

- Q. You talked about training, you didn't, in that position as corporate vice-president, didn't actually train the flight attendants yourself; would that be correct?
- A. No. I was responsible for that training, I did that through a director manager of training.
- 10 Q. All right. Now, you told us you retired in 1999? 11
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you said that's 31 years with TWA? 13
 - A. That's 31 years, yes.
- O. Can you tell us how many of the 31 years 15 that you worked for TWA were you focused on either 16 17 some type of training, supervisor, managing or
- 18 directing of the flight attendant?
- A. Well, except for the period from 1991 to 19
- 1995 when I was in customer relations, and the '68, 20
- 21 '69 period when I was actually a flight attendant, every other aspect of my career dealt with flight 22
- 23 attendant work.
- 24 Q. All right. Can you tell us what you were asked to do in this case?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12

13

- A. Yes. I was given a number of personnel records and flight logs and asked to look at them and analyze them, interpret them and tell your company basically what they said.
- Q. Can you tell us what did you review to accomplish that?
- 7 A. Well, yes. I had actual flight logs for 8 some period. And I had attendance records. I had 9 company-offered leave records. I had personal time-off records, for instance, I had illness 10 records. I had some training records. I had some 11 vacation records. I had some customer 12 13 commendations.
- Q. I am going to show you two exhibits, one 15 of which has already been admitted.

16 Defendants' Exhibit 1950, which is the TWA personnel records of Ms. French. You might 17 want to just take a quick gander through those. 18 Let me hand you those. 19

20 I'm also going to hand you Defendants' Exhibit 2030 and Defendants' Exhibit 1957, which 21 22 are the TWA flight logs. And I just want you to take a minute and look through both of those and I'm going to ask you if those are the records you reviewed and then I'm going to ask you what you did

Q. I want to talk generally for a few 1 minutes about the work schedules that flight 2 attendants have. There's been some testimony in 3 4 this case about that.

Can you tell us, based upon your experience, how many hours a month does a TWA flight attendant work on average on the aircraft?

- A. Well, on the aircraft on average a flight attendant works between 68, 75 hours on the aircraft.
 - O. Is that called block time?
 - A. Block time.
- Q. So in the course of a year, how many hours does a flight attendant, on the average, how 14 15 many hours is she on the plane?
 - A. Well, I'll choose the high side, the 75 hours, so that there's benefit of the doubt built in. On a 12-month basis, if a flight attendant flies 75 block hours over a 12-month period, he or she would fly, I think it's about 900 block hours.
 - Q. And on the average, how many days per month does the flight attendant work on the airplane?
 - A. Well, a flight attendant work month averages between 14, 16 days a month.

Page 2014

in this case.

1

2

4

5

6

14

- 2 A. Now, clearly I haven't looked at every 3 page here, but I have looked at a sampling and based on the sampling that I looked at, yes, these 4 are records I worked with. 6
 - Q. You've also been handed the TWA flight logs, which are --

8 THE COURT: If you want to put something 9 up here, you can. Let me just move this.

THE WITNESS: Thanks.

11 BY MS. TEDDER:

10

15

- Q. Do Defendants' Exhibit 2030 and 1957 12 13 comprise of flight logs that you looked at in this 14 case?
 - Yes, these look like the flight logs.
- 16 Q. What period did the flight logs that you

17 looked at encompass?

- 18 A. The flight logs that I received covered 19 the period from July 1994 through, I believe it's 20 November 2001.
- Q. Because you spent so much time at TWA and
- 22 because Ms. French worked at TWA I'm going to ask
- 23 you, did you know Ms. French while you were at TWA?
- 24 A. No, I'm sorry, I don't remember. I don't
- remember having met her.

- Q. And again, using that assumption over the 2 course of a year, what's the average number of days that a flight attendant will work? 3
- 4 A. Well, I'm going to take the high number this time also, 16. And applying 16 days a month 5 on average, times 12 months in a year, that's 192 7 days a year that a flight attendant on average 8 works.
- 9 Q. Ms. Coleman, are you aware of the various 10 restrictions on smoking that have been put in place 11 over the years?
 - A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. On aircraft?
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. Can you tell the jury when TWA first began to limit smoking on its domestic flights, 16 17 flights in the U.S.?
- 18 A. Well, I think it was April of '88 TWA 19 instituted a ban on smoking for all flights two 20 hours and under.
- 21 Q. And at some point in time did that 22 change?
- 23 A. Yes. It changed February, toward the end 24 of February, I think it was about February 25 of '90. Then flights of six hours or less smoking was

banned on those.

2

3

4

- Q. When the ban on flights six hours or less was implemented, how did that affect TWA's domestic flights?
- A. Well, that meant that in effect smoking
 was banned on all TWA flights, except St. Louis to
 Hawaii, that is over six hours.
- 8 Q. That was over six hours?
- 9 THE COURT: Where to Hawaii?
- 10 THE WITNESS: St. Louis.

11 BY MS. TEDDER:

- 12 Q. From your review, did you understand that13 Ms. French flew that particular route?
- 14 A. Well, I didn't see any evidence of it in 15 the record. And when I read through a deposition, 16 I think Ms. French flew Los Angeles/Hawaii.
- 17 O. And that was under six hours?
- 18 A. That was under six hours.
- 19 Q. Smoking would have been banned on that
- 20 flight?

25

- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You talked earlier that the flight
- 23 attendant has about a 68 to 75 hours a month of
- 24 block time; do you recall that?
 - A. I'm sorry, I didn't hear.

- 1 record for each month. And that's what I reviewed.
- 2 And that has on it the block times of each flight.
- 3 And then at the bottom it has a summary and a total
- 4 of the block times for that month.
- Q. All right. Were you able to determinefrom your review of the records the average block
- 7 time for the flights Ms. French flew between 1994
- 8 and 2001?

9

10

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell us, how did you do that?
- 11 A. Well, remember there is a flight time
- record for every month. And there's a total at the end of the listing.

So let's start at the beginning, let's start with 1994. 1994 you have July, you have a

total block time, block hours. August, you have a

17 total of block hours flown and in hours and

18 minutes. You have the same thing for September,

19 October, November, December. And there is a total.

20 There's six months that you're covering in 1994.

So in order to take the average, you take all of the time, the total of all of the block

3 hours flown, you have six months time that they

24 were flown in, so you divide whatever that total is

by 6 and now you have an average, an average of all

Page 2018

9

10

14

15

16

17

- Q. You said earlier that the average flight
 attendant works about 68 to 75 hours a month block
- 3 time?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. How does block time compare to time in
- 6 the air?
- 7 A. Well, block time is the time the flight
- 8 attendant is on the airplane beginning when you
- move away from the gate, move away from the loading
- 10 gate and until the time it parks at the station
- 11 where you're going. Everything in there is block
- 12 time. That includes the taxi on the beginning of
- 13 the trip and the taxi on the end of the trip. So
- 14 the time in the air would obviously be less than
- 15 that.

16

- Q. From your review of the records in this
- 17 case, the records that you told us you reviewed,
- 18 have you been able to determine the block time for
- 19 any period of time which Ms. French flew for TWA?
- 20 A. Yes, the records that I mentioned
- 21 earlier, July 1994 through November 2001, that is a
- 22 flight time record for each month. It's separated
- 23 by months, July, August, September, October,
- 24 November, December, for instance, in 1994.
- 25 And in each year there is a flight time

Page 2020

of the block hours flown on a monthly basis because
 you've divided by the total months covered.

3 So that's what I did for '94, '95. I had

started in January and went through December, so I did the same thing for every month, added them up,

there is the total. You've got 12 months. You

7 divided by 12 and whatever that answer is is8 average.

Q. Have you prepared an exhibit today to

show what outlines what you found?

A. I did prepare it, yes.

11 A. I did prepare it, yes.
12 Q. Would it assist your testimony today to
13 take a look at that?

A. Yes, it would.

THE COURT: Either you can step down or is there a smaller version that you can give to the witness?

18 MS. TEDDER: I don't think I have a19 smaller version.

THE WITNESS: Could you put it over here?
MS. TEDDER: The jury can't see it if you

21 MS. TEDDER: The jury can't se 22 put it over there.

23 BY MS. TEDDER:

Q. Now, I see that we have years at the

5 bottom '94 through 2001, 0 through 80 at 75 average

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

8

9 10

11

15

16

17

18

19

the total.

block hours.

2

3

14

15

16

17

Can you tell us, Ms. Coleman, what are we looking at with this chart?

4 A. Sure. Remember I said we would just get 5 the high side of the average, 75 block hours is what a flight attendant would fly in a given month. 6 7 So this 0 to 80 here just is the scale that says, 8 okay, you know, 75 average block hours. And remember 1994 we had six months worth of data. So 9 10 based upon the flight logs directly from the flight logs there's an entry, there's a sum total of hours for July. There's one for August, September, et 12 cetera, et cetera, et cetera. 13

So you add them up and you divide them by six. And 54 hours and 9 minutes represents the average block hours for each of those six months.

- Q. So for 1994 the average was 5409 a month?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Average hours per month. For 1995, her 20 average was --
- 21 A. Fifty-six hours and 35 minutes.
- 22 Q. And 1996?
- 23 A. It was 58 --
- 24 THE COURT: That might help you. I don't
- 25 know, but...

1 flight logs for that period; is that correct?

- A. That's correct, I didn't.
- Q. What were you able to review for the period 1976 to 1990?
- 5 A. Well, I had various attendance records
 6 for that period. I had, for instance, I had
 7 company offered leave records. I had personal time
 8 off records. I had some records of vacation taken.
 9 I had some training records. I had illness
 10 records.

So I was able to look at those records and simply determine the periods of time when Ms. French was other than on an airplane.

- Q. And is that what you did; can you just tell us briefly what you did with those records when you looked at them?
- A. Well, yes, I separated them by year. And I looked at each record that I had to see what was it saying, if it was a company offered leave record, I looked at the dates that the leave was offered and I wrote down the total number of days on leave. And I put that down. And then if there was something that said, okay, Ms. French was ill for these number of days, then I wrote that down in

Page 2022

1 MS. TEDDER: Thank you, very much. 2 THE WITNESS: Fifty-eight hours and 57 3 minutes. And for 1997 59 hours and 47

4 minutes.

6

5 BY MS. TEDDER:

- Q. Again, that's the average?
- A. This is the average. It is adding up all
 of the block time for each month for which we have
 data, which here it's mostly for 12 months.

You add it up and then you divide by 12 and you get the average for each month. And so on.

Seventy-three hours and 21 minutes for 13 1998. Fifty-two hours and 30 minutes for 1999.

14 Sixty-seven hours and 2 minutes for 2,000. And 47

15 hours and 22 minutes for 2001.

16 Q. I think you can take the stand again.

17 And you can keep your pointer because you may need18 it.

Does this graph fairly and accurately depict the average block hours for Ms. French between 1994 and 2001?

A. Yes, they're taken directly from the

23 flight logs.24 Q. I wa

Q. I want to turn and talk now about the period 1976 to 1990. You told us you did not have

Page 2024

And if she was on personal time off, I
looked at and said, okay, for these number of days
and I wrote that down. And so on and so forth. If
there was an indication that there was vacation,
then I wrote that down. Understand this is by
year, 1976, '77, '78, '79, et cetera.

So at end of all of that I just added up

So at end of all of that I just added up all of the numbers for each year.

- Q. And did you that for each year between '76 and 90?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Have you prepared an exhibit to show the jury the time that Ms. French was other than on the aircraft between '76 and 90?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Let's take a look at that.

You may need to come down again,

Ms. Coleman, because I'm going to ask you to tell us what it is we're looking at.

I see 0 to 365 on the right-hand side of this chart. I see years '76 through '90 at the bottom. So if you can just basically tell us what

23 it is that this chart encompasses.

A. Well, this is just 365 days in a year.

5 So that is the maximum number of days that

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

4

5

11

12

13

14

15

obviously that one could be available for any day in a calendar. That's what that number represents.

Remember I added up all of the days that I could figure out from the records for each year. And these were simply days that Ms. French was doing something that would have kept her from being on a plane.

For 1976, when I added up all the days, it was 35. In 1977 when I added up all the days, it was 46. In '78 it was 156. In '79 it was 225. In '80 it was 218.

Q. For each year?

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

15

18

3

4

5

6

7

8

- A. Just for each year, just methodically 13 14 going through and adding them up.
- Q. I see two years in here that are slightly different than the others, one is 1976 and the 16 17 other is 1990.

And I see, for example, 1976 we have a 19 dotted line going down and a 173 over here; what does this tell us about 1976?

20 A. Well, Ms. French couldn't have been 21 22 available until she came on line as a flight 23 attendant on June 10th. So that reduces the number

24 of days that she would have been available to do

anything, because that represented when her work as

Remember earlier you asked how many days 1 a flight attendant works a month? And I said 2 between 14 and 16. Again, I took the high number, 3 4 I said 16 days.

So if a flight attendant is working on average 16 days a month, when you multiply that times 12, you come up with something where he or she would reasonably be working is less than 365. That 16 times 12 is 192. So 192 represents on average the number of days that a flight attendant would be doing something on a plane.

- Q. Is there any way using the information that you had that you were able to construct a flight attendant year? For example, using this chart and the information you have from the TWA records is there any way that you could construct a flight attendant year of 192 days for Ms. French?
- A. Well, yes. Because it's instead of being a 365-day year, you're now working with 192 days. But, you know, there's another side to it, also, because if a flight attendant works on average 16 days a month, there are some blocks of time that
- are included here when, in fact, Ms. French was 23 doing other than on the aircraft. But had she not 24

been on a leave during those 30 consecutive days

Page 2026

a flight attendant started or when she could have 2 been available for anything having to do with a flight attendant.

So the 365 is brought down to 173 from June 10 forward.

- Q. So out of the 173 days, she wasn't there 35 is what you're telling us?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What about 1990; what does that 10 represent?
- 11 A. Well, this is two months. Because there 12 was a ban on smoking on all applicable segments as of this date. So I just stopped it. And that was just 56 days of availability 1990. And the 13 out of 56 would have been the number of days that she 15 16 was doing something else and couldn't have been on 17 a plane.
- 18 Q. All right. Now, let's talk about the 365 19 days. You may want to stay here, we're going to 20 talk about a couple of things real quick.

21 But how many days out of 365 does a 22 flight attendant work?

23 A. Well, a flight attendant doesn't work 365 24 days out of a year. Very few people do work 365

days out of a year.

Page 2028

- within a given month, she wouldn't have worked those 30 days anyway. Because the average is 16 2 3
 - Q. And so what have you done with these numbers to reflect that?
- A. Actually, I reduced the number of days 6 unavailable to work commensurate with the idea that flight attendants don't work 30 days. So if they 8 take 30 days off, the company wouldn't have had 9 10 them for about 16 of those days.

So I converted those 30-day blocks of time, I took them and reduced them down to 16 days.

- Q. Have you prepared an exhibit that reflects that?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Now, we have the same green columns, but 16 17 I see orange columns in here. And I see an orange 18 line. Can you tell us what this chart now 19 reflects?

20 A. Yes. See, remember, the green bars here 21 represent just raw numbers. You see the number, 22 here's the number just as it is. But it would be 23 sort of unfair to say, well, Ms. French wasn't 24 available for 30 days in this block when the company would only have used her services for

Page 2029

about, on average 16 of those days.

So I reduced the green bar numbers down to the extent they contained those 30-day blocks within a month. I took 30-day blocks and I changed 4 5 the number to 16. Because it more accurately reflects a time of unavailability. So the orange 6 bars that you see here represent the reduced down 7

numbers to take the flight attendant year of 192 8 days into consideration. 9

10 Q. All right. And from these orange bars can you determine from those how many days from 11 1976 to 1990 that Ms. French would have been

available to work? 14

2

3

15

16

25

3

6

10

13

17

A. Yes.

Q. How do you do that?

A. You see, this is 1978 as a for instance.

17 When you reduce this raw number down to more what a

flight attendant's world would look like, you see

19 99 days that she was doing something that would

20 have kept her from being on the airplane. Well,

when you say to me, well, what could she have

22 worked, you just look at 192 and you separate --

subtract 99 from 192 and whatever that answer is,

24 that's what she would have been available to work.

Q. Did you make those calculations for each

the opposite of the number of days when a 1 2

person is doing something that would keep them

3 off the plane.

5

6

7

9

14

18

25

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 BY MS. TEDDER:

Q. So for the year 1979, for example, there were 61 days she could have been available to work?

A. Could have been able.

8 Q. For 1980 I see 69 days?

A. Yes.

Q. For '83 I see 90? 10

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. For 1982 I see 55?

13 A. Exactly.

Q. So if you do the same thing --

15 A. This is the arithmetic, the difference

between the orange bar numbers and 192. 16 17

Q. I see that the years again, 1976 and 1990, are different and they're different for the

same reasons that you explained before? 19

20 A. Exactly, because you couldn't reasonably

expect somebody to be available before they came to 21

work. And it doesn't matter after this because 22

23 smoking was banned. 24

Q. You can probably take your seat again.

Again, you started today talking to us

Page 2030

of the years between 1976 and 1990?

2 A. Yes, I did.

Q. Have you prepared a chart that reflects

4 that? 5

Put this one down for just a minute.

Can you tell us, Ms. Coleman, what does this particular chart reflect?

7 8

A. Well, this chart is really sort of the

9 reverse of this one.

Q. I'll hold this one up.

THE COURT: Can you show it to me for a 11 12

MS. TEDDER: Sure.

14 THE WITNESS: Well, let's take a year.

I'll go with what I can see here.

15 16 Let's take, here's a year, 1984. See

here in 1984, when you reduce this 112 down to take account of the shorter availability that

18 19 you would expect of a flight attendant in '84,

20 remember I said on this line represents on 21 average what a flight attendant works in terms

22 of days during the year. If you take 84 from

23 192, you should come up with 108.

24 So now this represents on average the 25

number of days of availability, which is just

Page 2032

about block time; do you recall that?

A. Yes. Yes, I do.

3 Q. And you talked about 68 to 75 hours, 4

block hours average a month; is that correct?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And we took a look at the chart that you showed us earlier that had block time between '94 and 2001; do you recall that?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Now, is there any way to figure out from these charts that you've shown us, these charts with the days, for example, the one we just looked at days of availability between '76 and 1990, these

14 are days, but you were talking to us before about block hours. Is there any way to convert these 15

days into hours? 16

> A. Well, yes. Remember we're talking about averages. And remember I was always taking the high side of the average. And I'd like to stay consistent with that.

On average, let's take 75 block hours a month. And on average a flight attendant works, comes to work 14 to 16 days, but let's go with 16.

If, in fact, a flight attendant is flying on average 75 hours during 16 days, then if one

Page 2033

- divides 75 by 16, the answer will give you on average what the flight attendant is working each of those 16 days. 3
- O. Or what she could have been available to 5 work?
- Or what she could have worked, 6 understand, this is what she could have worked. 7 Using the average value for block hours per month, which is 75, and days worked a month, which is 16.
 - Q. Did you, in fact, make that calculation for each of the years reflected on your chart between 1976 and 1990?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.

10

11

12

16

- Q. And did you bring that exhibit to show 14 15 the jury?
 - A. Yes.

17 THE COURT: Could you show it to me before it's up? 18

19 BY MS. TEDDER:

- Q. You can come down and kind of tell us 20 what this chart represents, how many hours, what 21 22 does it represent?
- A. Well, remember --23
- Q. The purple chart with the days. 24
- A. Remember I said that this represents the 25

- Q. And the same with -- so you've done that 1 for each year. For '76 I see 390 potential block 2 3
- A. Potential block hours based upon the days 4 in the purple cart.
 - Q. Purple chart.

6

13

14

19

21

1

5

6

8

11

15

- A. The same thing for each year. For each 7 8 year you look at the purple cart and you see, okay, so how many days could Ms. French have been 9 available to work, multiply that times the average 10 value a day of 4.7 block hours and you end up with 11 12 these figures that's at the top of these blue bars.
 - Q. So for the year 1980 you have total of 277 block hours that she could have been available?
- A. Right. That would be 4.7 times whatever 15 days that she could have been available because she 16 wasn't doing something that kept her off the 17 18 airplane.
 - Q. And 1982 I see 729 block hours?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you've done that for each year and
- that's what that graph depicts? 22 23
 - A. That's what it says.
- Q. Okay. I have just a few more questions 24
- 25 and I think you could probably take the stand again

Page 2034

- average number of days that Ms. French could have
- been available for work here. Let's say 1977 it
- was 146 days. We're trying to be consistent
- 4 talking about a block-hour concept. Well, when you
- look at the average number of block hours flown a
- day -- is it possible to write? I don't want to
- 7 mark these up. That's okay, I'll do it. That's
- 8 all right. 9 If you divide the 75 total block hours
- for the month by the 16 days worked during the 10 month, what you come out with is 4.68 that I rounded up to 4.7. That would be 4.7 block hours for each of those 16 days. And wound up with 75. 13
- 14 Q. All right.
- A. Now, back to the year, '78 I think it 15
- 16 was.
- 17 If you look at 1978 you see 93 days that Ms. French could have been available to work. For 18
- each of those 93 days that she could have been
- 20 available to work, we determined that on average a
- flight attendant works 4.7 block hours per day
- worked. If you multiply 4.7 times the 93 and that
- 23 was '78, I think, you end up with 437 block hours
- 24 as the block hours that Ms. French could have
- 25 worked.

Page 2036

for those.

I notice that you have been telling us 2 this afternoon about hours that she -- block hours 3 4 that she could have been available, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you're not saying that she actually
- 7 was available for all this time, correct?
 - A. No, because I don't know.
- Q. In your opinion, you based this on a 75 9 block hour month, correct? 10
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And in your opinion and based upon your 12 review of the records, could Ms. French actually 13 14 have had less block hours?
 - A. You mean that the average value --
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. -- could have, because these are 18 averages.
- 19 Q. And when you looked at her actual block hours earlier, the actual block hours that you had 20 21 between '94 and 2001, that was less than 75,
- correct? 22
- 23 A. The average block hours per month was 24 less than 75, yes.
- 25 Q. In fact, that averaged approximately

Page 2037

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

24

3

6

7

12

17

22

1 what?

2

3

4

6

 A. Oh, I recollect it was about 58 hours of block hours.

Q. And you have reviewed Ms. French's 5 employments records, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And can you tell us how did the number of 7 days that Ms. French took off for leave, vacation, et cetera, between 1976 and 1990 when the six-hour 9 ban went into place, how did those leave hours 10 compare with '94 through 2001? 11

A. Well, Ms. French took most of her leave 12 time between 1983 and earlier, much, much, much 13 less, almost I think no leaves after that. After 14

15

16 Q. What does -- I think that would be after -- what does that tell you then about her 17 block hours during 1976 to 1990, if she was on 18 leave a lot; what does that tell you about the 19 block hours that she could have worked? 20

A. Well, logically I would expect them to be 21 22 less.

23 Q. Less than your calculation of 75 hours?

A. Yes, less than my calculations. 24

MS. TEDDER: I think that takes care of 25

forgot something on this chart.

We were looking at this blue chart, and you may need to step down again, Ms. Coleman. I'm

This blue chart that we looked at went from June 10th, '76, it actually went a little bit further than the other charts we looked at, it went through August 31st of 1995?

A. Yes.

Q. So you have some calculations down here from '91, '92, '93, '94 and '95?

A. Yes.

Q. We didn't talk about those. Can you tell 13 14 us what that reflects?

A. Well, in effect smoking was banned on 15 domestic after, oh, February 25th of 1990. So I 16 looked at or tried to assess what was happening 17 18 then in terms of Ms. French's record of flights on the international side where smoking was still in 19 20 effect. I read in Ms. French's deposition that she flew about one international trip a year. And then 21 when I looked at the flight logs I saw that that 22 23 was about right.

So I then looked at, okay, if Ms. French was going to fly about one international flight a

Page 2038

my questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Cross examination. 2

CROSS EXAMINATION

4 BY MR. TROP:

Q. Afternoon, Ms. Coleman. My name is Adam

Trop. We haven't met before. I represent Lynn

8 I have a couple of questions for you.

10 Q. You mentioned you're retired now from

11 TWA?

1

3

5

6

7

9

12

20

21

A. Yes.

13 Q. And you retired when the new management came in in '99?

14

A. Actually the new management came in a 15 little after that. I retired --16

17 MS. TEDDER: I'm sorry, there's one -- I

had just a couple more questions that I 18 19 actually forgot. And I'm terribly sorry.

THE COURT: Do you mind? We'll get right back to you.

MR. TROP: That's fine 22

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) 23

24 BY MS. TEDDER: 25

Q. I'm sorry, I just realized I forgot. I

Page 2040

year, let me look at the longest international 2 flight.

Q. That she actually flew?

4 A. Well, that she actually flew, yes, that 5 she actually flew.

Q. In the records you had?

A. Just in the records that I had. I just

looked at the block hours on those records. And I 8

think that flight was Tel Aviv or Athens. And I 9

10 took the numbers right off the flight log. And I 11 said one flight segment.

Let's look at the number of hours that

that would entail. And that's why you see the 22,

22 and the 22. I had actual records for July through December of 1994. So I added up the flight

15 hours for the international segments and that's 16

where I got the 73 from.

18 Q. And '95 you also had an actual flight log 19 to look at?

A. I had an actual flight log and that's 20 21 what that is.

MS. TEDDER: All right. Thank you.

23 And again, I apologize. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Trop.

25 CROSS EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)

Page 2041

BY MR. TROP:

Q. Ms. Coleman, again, my name is Adam Trop. 2 3

We met about three minutes ago, I guess, old

4 friends now.

5

6

8

Since you've retired from TWA, I understand you, one of the things that you do is you're -- I don't know if I'm using the right term, motivational speaker or a paid speaker?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And the tobacco companies have of course

11 hired you to render testimony in this case, right? 12

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And they've -- you've worked on other 13

14 cases for the tobacco industry, haven't you?

15

Q. I think the Fontana case that they hired 16

17 you on?

19

23

3

6

7

8

16

18 A. Yes, the Fontana case.

Q. You didn't testify in trial in that case?

20 A. No, I didn't.

21 Q. Do you recall what they paid you just to

22 render your opinions in the Fontana case?

A. Yes, I do.

24 Q. Can you approximate for the jury?

25 A. The rate is \$100 an hour -- you mean the 1 O. On Fontana and this case?

2 A. No, no, no. On this case.

3 Q. Times 100, right?

4 A. Uh-huh.

5 And that's not including where we are

6 now?

7

8 9

10

13

14

19

1

2

5

9

13

15

16

17

18

21

22

24

A. No, that's not including today.

Q. You flew in from Missouri is it?

A. St. Louis.

Q. Can you tell us how much you're going to

be charging for your testimony here to come to 11 12

A. Same rate, \$100 an hour.

Q. From the time you left Missouri to when

15 you get back?

A. Well, I'll get into that as soon as I get 16

17 back. I imagine so, yes.

18 Q. Are you leaving today or tomorrow?

A. Hopefully today.

Q. Now, you said you were a flight 20

21 attendant. I guess that was just -- let me ask

you, after that year or a little bit over a year in 22

the '60s, you haven't worked as a flight attendant? 23

24 A. One or two times since then.

25 Q. One or two trips?

Page 2042

1 total? 2

Q. Yes. Was it about \$7,000?

MS. TEDDER: Objection.

4 THE COURT: Just a minute. The

5 objection. What is your objection?

MS. TEDDER: I'm sorry, I misunderstood

what he said.

THE WITNESS: That could -- that could --

9 it sounds right. I don't have the records

BY MR. TROP: 10

Q. \$7,000 is about right? 11

12 A. Uh-huh.

13 Q. In this case I know we've taken your

deposition in January, up until that time, I think 14

you had worked about 24 hours on the case? 15

A. That sounds about right, uh-huh.

17 Q. Since January can you tell the jury -- I

don't have your bills, sometimes I do. So just

tell the jury how much you've worked since then on 20 this case?

21 A. I think it's about 130 hours.

22 Q. 130 --

23 THE COURT: All together or since then?

THE WITNESS: All together. 24

BY MR. TROP:

Page 2044

A. Since then, yes.

Q. You testified earlier you didn't really

3 remember what the conditions were like on the plane

4 when there was smoking?

A. I did, yes, in response to Ms. -- yes. I

6 don't remember specifically, you know, given the

7 question that she asked, no. I don't remember any

8 specific kind of thing, yes.

Q. So you wouldn't have any reason to

10 dispute that three flight attendants and the pilot

that have testified in this case about the 11

12 conditions?

MS. TEDDER: Objection, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. TROP:

Q. You said you dealt with flight attendants obviously in -- throughout your career, most of the

time it was dealing with their union, though? THE COURT: Their what, union?

19 20 BY MR. TROP:

Q. Union representatives?

MS. TEDDER: Objection, Your Honor.

23 THE WITNESS: Not really, no.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's already

25 answered.

1

2

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

24

25

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

BY MR. TROP:

2

3

4

5

6

7

25

5

Q. I want to go over some of the numbers that I have here and I probably won't do it as effectively as Ms. Tedder did. Let me see which one I like the best.

Well, like this one here, the green one.

- A. Okay.
- Q. Let me just hold it. I'm not going touse it that long. You're basing this on 75 averageblock hours?
- A. No, no, no. On this chart I had actual
 flight time logs. The official document that tells
 you the number of block hours before each segment
 flown and in total by month.
- Q. But I see here you've got 75 -- what is
 the average block hours for other flight
 attendants?
- A. The average block hours really do oscillate between 65 and 75 block hours. And I chose 75.
- Q. You also used an estimate, I believe, of between 14 and 16 days a month, the average flight attendant would fly?
- A. Absolutely. And I chose to use 16, yes.
 - Q. That was going to be my question, because

A. Yes.

- Q. So you can't make the same kind of computations for the previous years; you have to kind of estimate, right?
 - A. That is true.
- Q. Of course there wasn't smoking on most flights during these years, '94 and on?
 - A. Yes
- 9 Q. Except some international flights, all 10 international?
 - A. True.
 - Q. In the previous years in the '70s and '80s when you were estimating her time on the flights, did you take into consideration the many, many years she had when she commuted from California to New York and then started flying?
 - A. I'm not following, say again.
- Q. In the mid '80s -- well, I should say between -- well, yes, throughout a good portion of the '80s, you read her deposition, you know that she lived in L.A.?
- A. Yes, I do know that from the records that she lived in L.A.
 - Q. But her home base was in New York, right, remember that?

Page 2046

- when you take these numbers, both times you took
 the high, it was between 68 and 75 and between 14
 and 16, but when you did those calculations, you
 took the high both times?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. So your numbers would be pretty different
 if you took the low or even the true average,
 right?
- A. Not really. Because if you're going to say, and I would have to work through the protocols
- on that, but if you're going to say, because you -I believe you can't take the high of one and the
- 13 low of the other. If you're going to take 68
- 14 hours, then you're going to have to take the 14
- 15 days. If you're going to take the 75 hours, then
- 16 you take the 16 days. But the numbers -- and I
- 17 could certainly work through that to see -- but
- 18 they shouldn't be that radically different in terms
- 19 of the number of flight hours on average that a
- 20 flight attendant flies per day since you're dealing
- 21 with what could have been.
- Q. You took these numbers, again, thesegreen numbers for 1994 through 2000. And because
- 24 you didn't have the same kind of record for earlier
- 25 years, right?

A. Yes.

- Q. So she had to fly from L.A. to New York just so she could start working, right?
 - A. Did I see that she was a commuter, yes.
- 5 Q. But that's not going to show up on the 6 flight logs, right?
 - A. No, I simply dealt with, you know, her records. I didn't look at -- you're saying --
 - Q. What I'm asking you is on the typical day that she worked through all those years when she flew from L.A. to New York, then did her trip wherever she went for five or six hours or whatever
- wherever she went for five or six hours or whateverit is, then flew back and then flew home from New
- York to L.A., you are not taking into consideration
 the trip from L.A. to New York and from New York
- 15 the trip from L.A. to New York and from New York16 back home to L.A.?
- 17 A. That would be a difficult thing for me to 18 have assessed. Because in the flight attendant
- 19 world, when one commutes, a number of things could
- 20 happen. Many flight attendants have what they call
- 21 the commuter place, so you can't say, well, you're
- 22 going to go back and forth every time because many
- 23 try and group their flights so that they don't have
- 24 to go back and forth. So I really couldn't assess
- 25 that because I --

- Q. And that's of course not reflected on 2 your charts?
 - A. It is not, no.

3

- O. So the total air time with all the smoke 4 and, well, all that exposure to tobacco isn't 5 really reflected in your charts for all those years 6
 - that she had to commute, right?
- A. Well, I have to say the commute time 8 isn't there. I did see in a deposition that she 9
- did commute and she made comment about commuting
- and the condition of the smoke. And I think she 11
- 12 said she tried to sit in the no smoking part of the
- plane whenever she could. 13
- So again, I didn't know what to make of 14 15 that. And I didn't know how often she commuted
- 16 because I didn't know if she had a commuter place 17 or not. So, no, I didn't.
- Q. But the flight time from L.A. to New York 18 19 is what, about five hours?
- 20 A. About five hours.
- Q. So just to make sure we're clear on it. 21
- 22 If she flew out of New York, let's say she was
- flying a six-hour flight somewhere on the job. She
- would fly five hours from L.A. to New York then get
- 25 on a plane and do the six-hour flight, have a

- Q. Did they, when you were making your 1 2
 - charts and they were -- you showed them to them I'm sure first?
 - A. Sure.

4

8

9

10

11

12

17

23

1

2

5

6

8

9

15

16

21

22

- 5 Q. Did they ever tell you, hey, listen,
- you're missing a whole bunch of hours here when 6 you're making these charts?
 - A. I don't recollect that conversation.
 - Q. Is that how they do their math? I mean, they didn't --
 - MR. REILLY: Objection, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Sustained
- 13 BY MR. TROP:
- 14 Q. They didn't give you the information you needed to know in order to calculate how many hours 15 of poison she was exposed to? 16
 - MS. TEDDER: Objection, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
- But I think it's very clear that you didn't 19
- 20 include the commuter time. Okay.
- THE WITNESS: Because I didn't know it. 21
- 22 BY MR. TROP:
 - Q. And you said, I think you said 192 days
- 24 was the --
- 25 A. On average, yes.

Page 2050

- layover, six-hour flight back and then fly five
- 2 hours home, right?
- 3 A. Well, see, I don't know that. Because
- again, I get back to it was impossible for me to
- 5 assess, you know, was Ms. French, like so many
- flight attendants that commuted and -- I just don't 6
- 7
- Q. Let's assume that's what it was. Let's 8 assume that's what her testimony was. So that's 22
- hours in the smoke that I just counted. But on
- your graphs and charts you're only put putting 12 11
- hours, right? 12
- 13 A. I'm only putting -- when I'm looking at
- 14 averages I am working with the numerical averages.
- And I didn't take those kinds of things that you're 15
- 16 mentioning into account, because I had no way to
- assess an average or anything else because there 17
- were too many unknowns. 18
- 19 Q. I bet -- you said you worked how many 20 hours on this case, 100 and --
- 21 A. Oh, about 130 going through all of the
- 22 records. 23 Q. And I'm sure there are a number of
- 24 conferences with the tobacco company lawyers?
 - A. Yes.

25

- Q. It's really between 168 and 192, right?
- A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Let's take your average of 192 days a
- year that the average flight attendant flies? 4
 - A. On average.
 - Q. Or 75 hours a month times 12 months,
- that's about 900 hours a year in there? 7
 - A. Yes, right. Right.
 - Q. And if you multiply that by, let's say
- that's the average, multiply that by 14 years, 10
- that's 12,600 hours of exposure to tobacco smoke in 11
- 12 those small cabins, right?
- A. I have to admit, you take my computer and 13 a pen and paper and it's hard to follow you. 14
 - O. Sound about right?
 - A. Sounds about right.
- Q. Let's assume 12,600 hours, that comes out 17
- to 756,000 minutes of exposure to the tobacco 18
- smoke, what the average flight attendant did if you 19
- 20 take that over 14 years?
 - A. I'm going to go with your numbers here.
 - Q. You'd be pretty good if you knew that off
- 23 the top of your head.
- 24 A. I'm not that good.
- 25 Q. You said you didn't know Ms. French, you

_	
Page	2053

- don't remember her. But did you realize that you had written to her over time? 2
- A. Oh, yes. I did send my letter to 3 Ms. French, because I had a policy that when flight 4 attendants worked well with our customers and the customers commented on how they appreciated the 6 service, I made it a point to personally thank them 8
- Q. I think there was actually three letters that you wrote to her? 10
 - A. There could very well be.
- 12 Q. All of them were complementing her on her 13 excellent service to the customers; is that
- 14 correct?

9

11

1

5

6

10

11

12

- 15 A. Absolutely.
- Q. And you've got no reason to think she was 16 anything else but an excellent outstanding flight 17 18
- 19 A. Oh, based upon the record, Ms. French's 20 ability to work with the customers was excellent.
- 21 MR. TROP: Thank you very much.
- 22 THE COURT: Is there any redirect?
- 23 MS. TEDDER: Just a few questions, Your 24 Honor.
- 25 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- A. I spent very little of that -- very
- little of it was spent with your company.
- Q. And you have also given a deposition in this case, correct?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

8

14

25

3

9

16

- Q. And you had to spend some time preparing 6 7 for that, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. He also talked to you a little bit about your -- the fact that you used a 75 hour block month when you made your calculations; do you 11 12 recall that?
- A. Yes, I do. 13
 - Q. Why did you use a 75 hour block month?
- A. I used 75 because I wanted to give 15
- Ms. French the benefit of the doubt in maybe she 16
- flew high time. And that's what that 75 block 17
- translates to. 18
- Q. So you wanted to err on the side of 19 20 caution?
- 21 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 22 Q. And the 12,600 hours that Mr. Trop talked
- to you about, that's not representative of 23
- Ms. French's flight time, is it? 24
 - MR. TROP: Objection, Your Honor, it's

Page 2054

BY MS. TEDDER:

- 2 Q. Ms. Coleman, Mr. Trop started out by 3 talking about the time that you spent in this case. 4 I'm sorry, can you hear me?
 - THE COURT: When the air conditioning goes on it's difficult.
- 7 BY MS. TEDDER:
- 8 Q. Mr. Trop started out by talking about the time that you had spent in this case, correct? 9
 - Yes, he did.
 - Q. Now, can you tell us what -- what did you spend the bulk of your time in this case on?
- A. The bulk of the time was spent going 13 through page by page of all of the records, the 14
- personnel records that I had. Literally cross 15 16
- referencing, checking and rechecking, developing the numbers based upon the records which really 17
- required reading almost every line of every page. 18 It was extraordinarily time intensive. 19
- Q. In fact, you've only met with me once, 20 correct? 21
- 22 A. Yes, only once.
- 23 Q. So his suggestion that you spent the bulk of your time meeting with the tobacco people, the
- Defendants is incorrect?

Page 2056

- leading. 1 2 THE COURT: Sustained.
 - Don't answer, please.
- BY MS. TEDDER: 4
- 5 Q. The 12,600 hours that Mr. Trop talked about from your review of the records, Ms. French 6
- 7 didn't fly 12,600 hours, correct? 8 MR. TROP: Objection, Your Honor,
 - leading.

month?

- 10 THE COURT: I think it's leading. It's 11 suggests the answer.
- BY MS. TEDDER: 12
- 13 Q. All right. What did your review of the block hour time and the records that you had to
- 14 review show that Ms. French flew in an average
- 17 A. Well, my review showed that Ms. French
- couldn't have flown the 900 hours primarily because per the record, she was engaged in an activity, be 19
- 20 it company offered leave or personal time off or
- 21 vacation or training or ill, that would have made
- it impossible to be on the airplane and in those 22 23 states at the same time.
- 24 Q. Was Mrs. French's flight history based
- upon your review of the record higher or lower than

Page 2057

the average flight attendant? 2 THE COURT: What do you mean flight 3 history? MS. TEDDER: The block hours. 4 5

BY MS. TEDDER:

6

7

14

17

18

11

15

18

19

O. You used a 75-hour average. Was hers higher or lower?

8 A. Well, let me put it another, if I might 9 answer that. Based upon the time that Ms. French could not have been on the airplane, I'd say it would be lower than average just because she was, 11 during a very long period in other than an 12 13 available status.

Q. All right, Mr. Trop also talked to you a 15 little bit about Ms. French's commuter time. Do you recall that conversation?

A. I do.

19 flight attendants, as the manager of, general manager or regional manager of flight services for 21 TWA, what in your experience does the average 22 flight attendant do if they're a commuter? Do they

Q. And your experience as a supervisor of

23 commute every flight?

24 A. Well, based upon all of the above and my 25 conversations with a number of commuter flight

MR. ENGRAM: Your Honor, the defense will 1 2 call Ron Sands.

3 THE COURT: Could you get the witness, 4 please?

THE COURT: Come forward, please, and the clerk will swear you in.

THEREUPON,

5

6

7

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

RODNEY TOBE SANDS 8 9 having been first duly sworn, was examined and 10 testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ENGRAM: 12

> Q. Mr. Sands, would you please introduce yourself to the jury by stating your full name?

A. My name is Rodney Tobe Sands.

Q. And what kind of work do you do?

 A. I'm a consulting mechanical engineer. I do work in the aircraft design industry.

Q. Is there a type of engineering that you specialize in in the aircraft design industry?

A. Yes, I specialize in environmental control systems design work.

23 Q. Could you tell the jury what portion of 24 your career has been spent designing environmental control systems used on board aircraft? 25

Page 2058

attendants, what they did was they would fly from

2 wherever they lived to the place where they were

3 based. And in these instances Los Angeles flight

attendants flying to Kennedy. And they would get a

shared hotel room someplace relatively close to the

Kennedy airport. And they would try and, I hate to 6 7 use the term back to back, you know, you come in

one day, off on one flight and then the next day

you go on another. And get as many of those

flights in a composite --10

Q. Time period?

A. -- time period as possible so they 12 13 wouldn't have to make the long commute each and 14 every time.

Q. So in your experience does a flight attendant fly five hours, take a flight and then 16 17 fly back to their home base?

A. In my experience, no, they would not do that. At least not every time they took a flight.

20 MS. TEDDER: I think that's it, thank 21

22 THE COURT: I think that's it. So thank 23 you, you're excused.

24 (Witness excused.)

25 THE COURT: Who is next? Page 2060

1 A. Well, the last 17 or 18 years have been 2 dedicated to that kind of work.

Q. Would you tell the jury what an environmental control system is. And occasionally we may refer to it as an ECS.

A. Yes. The environmental control system is basically that system on the aircraft which takes air from outside the airplane when the altitude compresses the air to a higher pressure, regulates

the pressure and the temperature and then delivers 10 11 it to the airplane cabin to produce a safe

breathing environment for the occupants in the 12 13 cabin.

Q. So you've talked about this is a system that regulates the temperature in the cabin; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And you said that it regulates the 18 19 pressure?

A. Yes, that's right.

Q. And is there one other aspect of the environmental control system that it regulates?

A. Well, the relative humidity, the oxygen content, those are other factors. There's other elements, such as particulates that might be found

2

3

4

11

24

25

5

11

17

22

24

in the cabin are also dealt with by the environmental control system.

- Q. How does the environmental control system deal with particulates in the cabin air?
- 5 A. Depending on the specific airplane design, it's dealt with in one of two ways. First 6 of all, for airplanes that do not use recirculated 7 air, the air is simply passed through the airplane 8 one time and the particulates are carried 9 overboard. For airplanes that have recirculation 10 systems on board that fraction that's recirculated 11 is filtered using high-efficiency filters. 12
- Q. Okay. Would it be fair for me to 13 14 characterize then this either the one-pass system 15 or the recirculation system as ventilation?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

16

- 17 Q. Before we talk about the details of the 18 environmental control system on board commercial airplanes, would you tell the jury a little bit 19 about your background and experience in this field, 21 starting with your education?
- 22 A. Yes. I have a bachelor of science degree 23 in mechanical engineering and an associate of science degree in electronics engineering, both I 25 received from Oregon Institute of Technology in

- Q. Can you tell the jury a little bit about the history of Boeing? For example, who built the first -- in terms of the types of aircraft that they build?
- 5 A. Yes, Boeing started out in the early decades of the 1900s building small wooden, 6 originally wooden aircraft. And then quickly moved 7 kind of to the front of the airplane design 8 industry. And by the middle '50s Boeing was 9 developing the first commercial jet airliner made 10
- Q. Has Boeing built just commercial 12 13 passenger airplanes?

in this country, the model 707.

- A. No, Boeing also builds military 14 airplanes, fighter aircraft, as well as transports 15 16 and freighter airplanes.
- 17 Q. You talked about the types of commercial passenger planes that Boeing currently 18 19 manufactures; did they manufacture any other models 20 prior to the 737?
- 21 A. Yes. The 727, the 707 were the two 22 primary commercial aircraft models built before the 23
 - Q. How long did you work for the Boeing Company?

Page 2062

1 Klamath Falls, Oregon in 1984.

2 After receiving those degrees, I went to 3 work for the Boeing Company in Seattle, Washington. And within the first year of my employment there, I moved into the environmental control system design 5

group in the commercial airplane division of 6

7 Boeing.

- 8 Q. I didn't ask you this, but would you tell 9 the jury where the Boeing Company is headquartered?
- A. Yes, Boeing is -- the airplane 10 manufacturing segment is headquartered in Seattle, 11 12 Washington.
- 13 Q. And where do you live today?
- 14 A. I live in Oregon.
- Q. Now, after you graduated, did you tell 15
- the jury what year you graduated from Oregon
- Institute of Technology? 17
- 18 A. Yes, I graduated in 1984.
- 19 Q. And what kind of airplanes does the
- 20 Boeing Company build?
- A. Boeing currently builds the 737 model 21
- 22 airplane, the 747, 757, 767, triple 7, and now also
- the 717, which is actually a model that was
- acquired by Boeing when Boeing bought the McDonnell 24
- Douglas Company three years ago.

Page 2064

- A. A little over 16 years.
- 1 2 Q. Let's start from the time that you first
- went to work for the Boeing Company; what was your 3 4 job title in the mid 1980s?
 - A. I started out as a mechanical engineer,
- design mechanical engineer. And I started out 6
- 7 doing design work on various aspects of the 737,
- the 757 model airplane, including the systems that
- cooled the avionics equipment that's located down
- 10 below the floor level.

And from there I went in to design of

- cargo fire and smoke protection systems for the 757 12
- 13 model airplane. After that I worked in the air
- conditioning system design, the engine bleed 14
- temperature control systems and also in the cabin 15
- 16
- pressurization controls.
 - Q. Would it be fair to say then that you
- worked in all aspects of the environmental control 18
- 19 system for these airplanes?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. After you were the systems engineer,
 - excuse me, a mechanical engineer, were you promoted
- 23 during your tenure at Boeing?
 - A. Yes, after six years as a design engineer
- I was promoted to the title of lead engineer, at

Page 2068

Page 2065

1

7

10

11

18

8

17

which time I was given responsibility to oversee the efforts and the works of a group of engineers working under me.

Q. Now, were you lead engineer for a particular system on the aircraft?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

6

7

9

10

13

- A. Yes, it was for the air conditioning systems, various parts of the environmental control system on the aircraft.
- Q. Were there specific aircraft that you were responsible for the design, analysis and certification of?
- A. Yes, the 737 and the 757 models were my 12 13 primary area of responsibility.
- Q. Did there come -- now, how long were you 14 15 the lead engineer for the 737 and the 757 environmental control systems? 16
- 17 A. A period of almost nine years.
- Q. And then were you once again promoted 18 19 during your tenure at Boeing?
- A. Yes, I received two other promotions. In 20 21 1994 I received a designation from the Federal
- Aviation Administration, the designation called, 22
- Designated Engineering Representative. It's a
- license that the FAA grants to individuals within
- private industry. It's issued to roughly five

- A. In Oregon and as well as in Washington.
- Q. And then after the designation 2
- 3 professional engineer, what other initials are there normally after your name? 4
- 5 A. The letters I referred to a minute ago,
- 6 DER, again, stands for Designated Engineering
 - Representative. And that's the FAA license.
- Q. FAA. You said that was the Federal 8
- 9 Aviation Administration?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Where is the FAA located?
- A. The FAA has offices all over the nation. 12
- There's a branch office in the Seattle area, an 13
- office that I continue to work through in my 15 conduct of those DER responsibilities.
- Q. Is the Federal Aviation Administration a 16 private company or a public entity? 17
 - A. No, it's a federal government agency.
- It's a branch of the U.S. Department of 19
- 20 Transportation.
- 21 Q. Tell the jury what is the role of the FAA
- 22 insofar as the manufacture of commercial passenger
- 23 airplanes is concerned?
- A. The FAA maintains a large volume of 24
- 25 design requirements for aircraft. Those

- percent of the engineers in that industry. And 2 that person's responsibilities involve conducting design analysis to determine if the particular
- 4 airplane meets the FAA's rules for safety. 5
 - Q. Okay. Let me back up here, because I think we covered a lot of ground there.
 - The first thing you said is that you received some sort of certification. You know, you are not a doctor, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. So you don't have the -- either the words
- 12 MD or Ph.D. after your name, do you?
 - No, that's correct.
- 14 Q. But you do have some initials after your
- name, don't you, normally, in terms of your professional capacity? 16
- 17
 - A. Yes, I do.
- 18 Q. What would be the first designation that 19
- 20 A. Typically the first two are PE,
- 21 representing professional engineer. And that's a
- 22 state licensing designation that allows me to
- practice as a professional engineer. 23
- 24 Q. Okay. Now, in what states have you been
- licensed as a professional engineer?

- requirements relate to performance minimum
- 2 capabilities. They specify margins of safety.
- They specify acceptable failure modes, failure
- 4 rates, failure probabilities. And they encompass
- virtually every aspect of the aircraft. Virtually
- every single part of the aircraft is evaluated 6
- 7 relative to those FAA rules.
 - So the FAA, although those rules are
- constantly upgraded and changed, the FAA maintains
- those rules as the way of guaranteeing that 10
- aircraft designed and operated in this country are 11
- 12 safe for people to fly on.
- 13 Q. Okay. And then you said you hold the
- FAA's designation of Designated Engineering 14
- 15 Representative, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. DER.
- 18 Why does the FAA certify engineers,
- 19 aerospace engineer engineers as Designated
- 20 Engineering Representatives?
- 21 A. The FAA doesn't perform design compliance
- 22 analysis itself. It transfers the burden, the
- 23 economic burden to do that analysis into the
- 24 aircraft industry by naming Designated Engineering
- Representatives from private industry to do that

work on their behalf.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

So their role is to maintain the requirements. Their role is also to determine whether individuals who apply to receive that designation have the qualifications that are necessary to perform the job correctly.

- O. Now, in your role as a Designated Engineering Representative, were you -- did you perform that function for the Federal Aviation 10 Administration?
- A. Yes, I did. I performed that function as 11 12 an employee of the Boeing Company starting in 1994. And then after leaving the Boeing Company in 1999 I 13 reapplied to the Federal Aviation Administration
- 15 for the DER designation as an independent consulting engineer and did receive the designation 17 after that application.
- 18 Q. What did you have to go through, what 19 processes did you have to go through to be 20 certified as a Designated Engineering 21 Representative?
- 22 A. Well, there's a period of review, the FAA 23 looks at one's work performance over a number of years. The FAA evaluates the experience that one 24
- has achieved or has reached with their work. And

1 since leaving?

13

15

16

13

17

20

2 A. I have done environmental control system design work for crew rest compartments to be 3 installed on large aircraft, long-range aircraft. 5 These are sleeping compartments for the crew. And my involvement in those designs, again, has been in 6 7 the environmental control system area, the 8 ventilation and pressure controls.

- 9 Q. What other kinds of consulting work have you done since 1999 for companies other than 10 11 Boeing? 12
 - A. I've done work in the general construction industry. I designed the environmental control system for hospital operating room and for some hospital patient rooms, for a hospital in Alaska.

17 I also do design certification work on -for companies that produce overhauled replacement 18 19 parts for the aircraft industry.

- 20 Q. How much are you charging for your time as a consultant in this case? 21
- 22 A. \$175 an hour.
- Q. Now, before we go into the details of the 23 24 environmental control system, can you tell me based on your review of the records in this case what

Page 2070

- there's also an examination that finalizes the 1 2
- 3 Q. When did you first become a Federal 4 Aviation Administration Designated Engineering 5 Representative?
- 6 A. I started -- I started working doing analysis work actually in 1992, but I received the 7 8 designation in 1994.
- 9 Q. What percentage of aerospace or aircraft 10 engineers become FAA Designated Engineering 11 Representatives?
- 12 A. It's something less than five percent.
- 13 Q. And after you left the Boeing companies 14 in 1999, did you maintain your certification with 15 the FAA?
- 16 A. Yes, and I am certified today in that 17 capacity.
- Q. Now, why did you leave the Boeing Company 18 19 in 1999?
- A. I wanted to go into private practice and 20 21 become a consulting engineer.
- 22 Q. Have you done work for Boeing since you 23 left them in 1999?
- A. Yes, I have. 24
- 25 Q. What kind of projects have you worked on

- particular planes Lynn French flew on as a flight attendant for TWA? 2
- A. Yes, I believe she flew on a Boeing model 3 707, 727, a 747, 757, 767, the Lockheed L-1011. 4
- And I believe various models of the McDonnell 5
- 6 Douglas MD 80 aircraft.
- 7 Q. Did Boeing manufacture all of these 8 planes?
- 9 A. No, the Boeing did not manufacture the MD 10 80 aircraft nor the Lockheed L-1011.
- Q. But they manufactured the other five --11 12 what I'll call the 7-series planes?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- 14 Q. Including the 757 that you had the design responsibility for the environmental control system 15 16 on?
 - A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Are you familiar with each type of 19 aircraft that she flew?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- 21 Q. Okay. We said earlier you mentioned what 22 the environmental control system was.
- 23 What is the purpose of the environmental 24 control system; what purpose does it serve when a plane is at cruise altitude.

Maybe we should define cruise altitude.

A. That would be the altitude for the airplane after climbing up would level off and conduct the majority of the flight at that level.

- Q. What purpose does the environmental control system serve then at cruise altitude?
- A. Well, the conditions outside the airplane of course are very cold and it's pressure is very low. So the environmental control system pressurizes the cabin and heats or cools the air as required to make the cabin comfortable and safe for those people inside.
- Q. Is there a typical altitude, cruise
 altitude that the Boeing planes are designed and
 fly at?
- A. Yes, each of the airplane models is designed to cruise at a different maximum altitude. For example, the 737 is only certified to cruise up to 37,000 feet. The 757 or 767 are allowed to go up to 42,000 feet. So there's some range in the upper limit that each can fly.

But typically an airplane flying domestic routes within the United States or even international routes will fly somewhere in the 30,000 feet range, 30 to 40,000 feet. In airplane terms or in design terms, we refer to
 the cabin pressure in terms of cabin altitude.
 It's kind of a shorthand for talking about the
 pressure. So when the airplane is on the ground
 the cabin altitude is the same as the airplane
 altitude.

Q. In Miami what would that altitude be, Miami International Airport?

- A. Six feet or some such number.
- 10 Q. Essentially it's sea level?
 - A. Sea level. Yes.
 - Q. When a plane is at 35 to 40,000 feet at cruise altitude, at what altitude is the inside of that cabin pressurized to?
 - A. The equivalent cabin altitude, by the time the airplane reaches cruise altitude is typically between 5 and 8,000 feet. So if the airplane is going to cruise at its maximum certified flight level, the cabin altitude will approach 8,000 feet. If the airplane is somewhat below its certified maximum limit, then the cabin altitude will also be lower.

Typically domestic flights, you know, travelling across the United States, the cabin altitude will rise from take off, it will rise up

Page 2074

- Q. How is the environment inside the cabin; how is the air pressure in the cabin controlled compared to the cruise altitude?
- A. Well, the pressure inside the cabin is regulated by the cabin pressurization control system. And it's regulated to do a number of things. It keeps the pressure as constant as possible for comfort during the times of climb and descent, at the beginning and the end of the flight, when the pressure has to change and the pressure is changed very gradually and very smoothly so as to prevent discomfort in people's ears.

But ultimately the system is designed to keep the pressure inside the cabin at a high enough level that the oxygen content is safe for people to breathe.

- Q. Okay. When a plane is on the ground at the gate and the front door is open and passengers are coming on the plane or they're getting off the plane, let's take Miami for example, at what level is the air pressure inside the cabin in Miami with the door open?
- A. Well, the pressure inside would be equivalent to the pressure outside the airplane.

- to roughly a 5 to 6,000 foot equivalent altitude.
 And then during descent into the destination it
 will descend down into whatever the destination
 field altitude is.
 - Q. If the cabin is pressurized at between 5,000 and 8,000 feet, are there any examples you can give the jury about a location that would have a similar air pressure?
 - A. Yes, Denver, Colorado, for example, is situated in the Rocky Mountains at 5,280 feet above sea level. So that's being in an airplane cabin for a typical domestic flight is roughly equivalent to going to Denver, Colorado. I think Mexico City is upwards of 8,000 feet, so if the airplane is going to cruise at its maximum certified cruising altitude then the equivalent cabin altitude will be more like the altitude in Mexico City. Excuse me, I think Santa Fe, New Mexico is at 6,500 feet. So those are rough equivalents to what you might expect to find in an airplane cabin.
- Q. Did you earlier describe that as thin 22 air?
- A. Yeah, the air is thinner. Just in terms of numbers, the pressure in the -- the oxygen content in the air at 8,000 feet is about

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

three-fourths what it is at sea level. The pressure is lower, the air is thinner. People sometimes notice that difference and feel they have to breathe a little bit more deeply at those higher 5 altitudes.

- Q. Are the environmental control systems that are used on modern jet planes, and in particular the planes flown by Ms. French, are they the same or are they different?
- A. There are some minor differences between 10 them, but they're all remarkably similar as well. 11
 - Q. Why is that?

6

7

8

12

25

4

5

7

8

11

12

13

18

19

20

24

- 13 A. Well, mostly because the companies that produce the detailed parts of those systems, there 14 are really two major companies in the United States 15 that do the detailed part design. And because there are only two companies, they -- there's a lot 17 of similarity across the airplanes. In fact, the 18 two companies themselves produce equipment that's 19 20 virtually identical.
- Q. Well, is there one major difference 21 22 between the design of the airplanes flown by Lynn French in terms of the environmental control system 24 or the ventilation system?
 - A. Yes, as I alluded to a little bit

O. We've listed here 7 types of aircraft, 1 the Boeing 707, the 727, the L-1011, MD 80, the 2 3 767, the 747 and the 757? 4

A. Yes, this bar chart simply shows that the fraction of that air delivered to the cabin for each of these models that that's directly from outside air coming in from the engine.

As you can see, these first three models, the 707, the 727 and the L-1011, have 100 percent outside air delivered to the cabin. Those are the one-pass airplanes, that means the air comes in overhead, passes through the cabin once and exits the return grills at the floor level.

These other models the MD 80, 767, 747 and so on do utilize some recirculation wherein a fraction of that cabin air is extracted, passed through a high efficiency filter and returned to the cabin mixed with the outside air and delivered to the cabin for a second pass.

- 20 Q. So if I understand your testimony then, 21 and let's just take the MD 80 for example, 66 percent of the air at any point in time in the 22 cabin is fresh outside air? 23
 - A. Yeah, that's right. What that says is for every two parts of

Page 2078

earlier, the one difference is whether the airplane 2 utilizes a one-pass ventilation system or whether, meaning the air only passes through the cabin one time, or whether there's recirculation.

Q. Now, when air -- we'll talk about this -but when air is recirculated, is 100 percent of the air recirculated?

A. No, depending on which airplane model you're talking about. But it's in all cases less than half or less, virtually less than 50 percent --

Q. Okay.

A. -- of the air.

Q. Mr. Sands, did you prepare a chart that 14 15 illustrates which planes Ms. French flew, which ones were one-pass systems and which once were 16

17 recirculating systems?

A. Yes, I did.

MR. ENGRAM: I'm showing it to the court. THE COURT: What is the title?

21 MR. ENGRAM: Variations in modern

22 aircraft. 23 Mr. Sands, if you could step down for a

moment and explain. BY MR. ENGRAM:

Page 2080

outside air its -- as you see on the 747, it's 2 roughly a ratio of 3 to 1. 3 parts of outside air 3 for 1 part of recirculated air.

Q. Let's talk a little bit about the specific equipment or the components on the environmental control systems of modern airplanes.

Did you prepare another chart that shows the equipment on the plane?

A. Yes, I did.

10 Q. This is entitled, cabin air circulation. 11

How does it work?

A. Okay, this chart shows the basic elements of the environmental control system. The engine of course where the air from outside the airplane enters, it's compressed and heated. Beyond the engine there's an ozone converter, which transfers molecules of ozones into breathable air.

The air conditioning system regulates the flow and pressure and temperature of that air delivered to the cabin. And for those airplanes that do have recirculation, the high efficiency particulate filter would be used to clean that fraction of the air that's extracted from the cabin. It would be fixed with the air coming from the engine and of course then that mixture is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

delivered to the cabin using the aircraft's air distribution system, which is just a series of ducts and nozzles installed inside the aircraft.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

13

14

19

20

21 22

- O. Okay. So with a one-pass system, there are certain elements of this equipment that are not included in the environmental control system?
- A. Yes, the one-pass systems do not have the filter or the mixing chamber. The air from the engine after the pressure temperature and flow has been controlled is delivered directly to the airplane through the air distribution system.
- 12 Q. Now, you mentioned air from the engine. At what point in time is the air, I think you called it bleed air earlier, at what point in time 15 is the air bled from the engine for use in cabin air circulation?
- 16 17 A. Well, the engine is a gigantic compressor followed by a combustion chamber and then a turbine 18 19 that converts the expansion downstream of the 20 combustion chamber into torque. But the big compressor section up front is like a whole series 21 22 of fans. The engine may have up to 17 of these fan 23 stages that air passes through and is compressed, 24 pressurized at each stage a little bit more.

Before it gets to the combustion chamber.

The environmental control system has the task of cooling that air down to a temperature that's needed for comfort in the cabin.

So the first phase of the air conditioning system is actually installed out in the engine compartment itself. And the first phase of the processing is to cool the air down and make it safe to transport to the next phase of the

- Q. I didn't ask you, does this depict a one-pass ventilation system or a recirculation system?
- A. This does depict a recirculation system. I'll talk about this filter and how it plays in here in just a minute.

Before the air gets to that area where the recirculated air is mixed, typically out in this part of the airplane or maybe even down at the bottom of the airplane would be ozone converter. If the airplane is designed to operate in -- at latitudes and at locations around the planet where the ozone concentration is above safe limits, then the airplane would be fitted with an ozone converter. And again, that ozone converter would simply take the ozone that's ingested from the

Page 2082

So the environmental control system takes air from those stages that are way upstream from the combustion chamber and therefore, the air that has not yet been affected by the combustion

- Q. So we're not pulling in air from the exhaust of the engine, right?
 - A. No, no, that's right.
- Q. All right. Well, let's talk about then 10 how this cabin air circulation actually works inside the cabin, or from that point in time where 12 it's bled from the engine.

Okay.

A. Okay, well, this chart shows a cutaway of the airplane, the body of the airplane, the wings and the engine. And it's done in schematic form so 16 17 that you can kind of see the path that the air 18 takes through the airplane.

Again, you see the engine inlet here where the outside air passes, gets into the engine. This red number one is symbolizing the beginning of the environmental control system.

23 Again, as the engine compresses that air, 24 the air gets very hot. The air can approach 1,000 degrees farenheit at some engine thrust conditions. Page 2084

engine and transform it into breathable oxygen.

Ozone is three molecules of oxygen hooked together. What we need to breathe, of course, is two molecules of oxygen. So the converter does that transformation.

Once the air comes out the ozone converter, there typically is more equipment down in the body of the airplane than we've shown here. But for purposes of simplicity, we've shown the air conditioning system equipment all located out there.

Once that air has been processed so that the pressure and the temperature is right for the cabin occupants, then at this point it is mixed with that, that fraction of air that's recirculated. If the airplane has a recirculation system. And this schematic shows one that does have that.

- Q. How does the air, once it either -- if there's not a mixing chamber or if there is, once it leaves the mixing chamber, for example, how does the air then get into the cabin?
- 23 A. The air, as you see, passes through duct 24 work that carries it up typically to the overhead area in the cabin. And in the overhead area there

13

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

are nozzles or delivery systems that release that air into the cabin virtually all along the center line of the airplane.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2

3

4

5

6

7

9.

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

25

Now, some, this picture is depicting an aircraft that has a single aisle. The larger aircraft, we call wide body aircraft, obviously have two aisles. In some cases there are two of these nozzles, one over each aisle. There's different schemes developed for delivering that air into the passenger cabin.

As you see here, there's air coming in the center nozzle. There's also air carried through little ducts, that are not shown, to nozzles that are over here directly above the seating area. Including the adjustable air outlet that you typically see that has a round feature that you can control yourself from the seat.

- Q. Okay. How does the air move inside the passenger cabin when it's introduced into the cabin at this point?
- 21 A. Well, it's designed to come in overhead, all along the length of the airplane and move 22 basically move downward through the seating area of the cabin. And then once it gets to the floor 24

level it passes out through return air grills.

around may cause some air movement, apart from that, it's not absolute or perfect, but that's the 3 basic theme.

- Q. That's what you're referring to under 4 number 4; is that right?
- A. Yes, so number four just says that the 6 air leaves through those return grills that are placed at floor level. And those return grills are all up and down the cabin so just the same way the delivery nozzles are. You kind of imagine the 10 column of air moving downward throughout the whole 11 12 airplane all at the same time.
 - Q. And then step five in the cabin air circulation process, what happens there?
- A. Well, for that fraction of air that will 15 be recycled or returned to the cabin, it's first 16 passed through a high efficiency particulate air 17
- filter. That's a filter that is designed to remove 18
- virtually all the particulate matter from the air. 19 20 It's a filter technology that was designed back,
- actually originated after World War I as a means of 21
- operating during times of biological warfare, but
- it's a technology that's been carried forward. 23
- 24 It's now used on aircraft. It's used in hospital
- operating rooms. Virtually the same equipment is

Page 2086

Those are located in different places depending on airplane model. But sometimes they're over in this side wall here.

But the basic theme is that the air comes in overhead, moves downward and then passes out.

Q. If we took the jury box as an example, are there air vents where the air is delivered into the cabin in this row and a separate group of air vents for the second row of the jury box?

A. Yeah, in essence it's that way. Of

course, the air delivery nozzles that are directly above the seating area are local to that seating zone. The main nozzle overhead, of course, delivers air all along. But the design is such that the air that comes down for this row, for example, would not be blown backwards and be presented to the people in the row behind you.

That air would come down and pass out the floor

20 And, likewise, for the back row, the air 21 that's presented to that row would also pass downward and then exit at the floor level. So the 22 23 concept is for the air to move downward, not 24 forward and aft.

Now, to the extent that people walking

Page 2088

used in hospital operating rooms.

And it's an expensive filter technology, but it's very effective at filtering out the particulates in the air; in particular, those associated with environmental tobacco smoke.

- Q. Let me hand you this piece of equipment and ask you if you recognize that?
- A. Yes, that's a high efficiency particulate air filter. In engineering terms it's referred to as a HEPA filter, which is just the first letters of those four words. And it's made up of a semiporous paper, which is pleated back and forth in kind of an accordion fashion so that in fact there's a tremendous amount of surface area inside the filter where particulates can be captured and trapped and prevented from passing on through the filter.
- Q. How small a particulate -- I guess maybe we should tell the jury what you mean specifically by a particulate.
- A. Well, a particle or -- you know, anything that's -- that's solid in nature. For example, the 22 smoke from a cigarette. Environmental tobacco smoke is composed of a whole series of particles all of different sizes. Those particles range from

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

being -- you know, from tenths of millionths of an inch in diameter to some particles that are a little bit bigger than that.

Filters of this nature, high efficiency filters, take out virtually all, 99.7 or 99.9 percent of the particles of the size associated with environmental tobacco smoke.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

25

3 4

5

7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

- Q. Can you give an example? For example, how thick is one strand of human hair?
- A. A human hair, I believe, is 11 seventy-millionths of an inch in diameter. And 12 this filter will take out particles that are less than a tenth of a million. So a human hair is, you 13 14 know, 70 -- 200 times larger than the particles
 - Q. Where else are HEPA filters used, what other applications?

that this filter can take out.

- 18 A. Well, as I said earlier, originally they 19 were used in military machines for biological 20 warfare, but they're also now currently used in 21 hospital operating rooms to clean the air that's 22 presented to the operating table itself.
- 23 Q. Are these filters replaced on a regular 24 basis?
 - A. Yes, they are. When an airplane is

- O. What's the typical humidity on an 2 airplane?
- A. It depends on whether it's a one-pass 3 4 airplane or whether it's an airplane that has 5 recirculation. Also depends on whether there's food being served and the number of passengers. 6 7 But typically on a one-pass airplane, humidity will be down on the order of 10 percent relative 8 9 humidity.

On the an airplane with recirculation, it's often higher than that, 15 and sometimes 20 percent relative humidity. But the humidity is very low in the airplane simply because the air that's drawn in through the engine from outside the airplane has virtually no moisture in it. So...

- Q. And because it has no moisture, would it 16 be fair to characterize the air on an airplane as 17 very dry? 18
 - A. Yes, it is very dry.
- 20 Q. Now, you said it was 10 percent relative humidity. Today it happens to be raining in Miami, 21 but the humidity in Miami outdoors today, you would 22 23
- guess is what? 24 A. Closer to 100 percent.
- 25 Q. And in a typical building environment

Page 2090

designed and when a filter is developed for a particular airplane, the airplane designers have to estimate the particulate holding capacity of the filter. If they design the filter to hold -- a very large filter to hold a tremendous amount of particulate, it's larger.

But ultimately the designers define the service interval for a particular filter installation. And then once the airplane goes into service, that design parameter is carried forward into the aircraft maintenance records. And the airline then is obligated to replace the filter at whatever that design interval is.

Q. So we've talked about temperature, that the environmental control system regulates the temperature of the air in the cabin.

And we've talked about the fact that it regulates the cabin pressure.

19 We've talked about how this filter is 20 highly efficient in removing particles from the 21

Is there any other aspect of the aircraft cabin environment that the system does not address?

24 A. Well, there are parameters such as 25 humidity.

- like this courtroom that does have air conditioning, what would you say based on your training and experience that the humidity levels 4 would be in the courtroom? 5
 - A. Well, the design standards for buildings and for occupied spaces suggest that if one can achieve humidities in the range of 50 to 70 percent, the people will find the space to be more comfortable. This courtroom probably has humidity somewhere on that level.
 - Q. Is there any practical way to humidify or put moisture into the air on airplanes?
- A. No, it's very difficult to do. And there are other consequences that that humidity would present to the airplane structure if it were done that way, consequences that stem from corrosion and the fact that that humidity would condense out on 17 the cold structure. Because, remember, the 19 airplane is flying up there where the ambient temperature outside the airplane is on the order of
- 20 21 60 degrees below zero. Very cold. The airplane
- structure is very cold. And any moisture that's in
- 23 the air moving around the airplane that contacts
- 24 the skin of the airplane then gives up that
- moisture through condensation.

Page 2093

So anyway, there are reasons why it's very difficult to affect a higher humidity in the airplane cabin.

Q. Okay. Let's -- we've talked about the two basic systems, the one-pass system and the ventilation system -- I mean, excuse me the recirculation system. Is there a term that is used in your profession to talk about how often the air is exchanged in the airplane cabin?

A. Yes, the air change rate or the ventilation rate are two different terms that are used. The ventilation rate typically is a number that tells you how many times the air is changed in a given hour.

The air change rate may also be listed or computed and shown in terms of the number of minutes that are required for all the air in the airplane to pass through and to be -- to exit the airplane.

Q. Okay. And have you prepared a chart that
describes the air change rates for the planes that
Lynn French flew?

A. Yes, I have.

I'm just kidding.

THE COURT: Before we put that chart up.I think we might need a little break.

MR. WEINSTEIN: We sort of shoot from the hip. We choose.

3 MR. REILLY: That's what they told me in 4 Fontana, too.

There is or was on our exhibit list at one time and we removed it --

THE COURT: Excuse me.

One of the juror's wives is on the phone saying that there's an emergency in his house or whatever. So Nicole will take him to use the phone to see what that's about. And that's Kenny Abreu.

MR. REILLY: That's what?

THE COURT: The juror's name is Kenny Abreu.

MR. REILLY: The truck driver.

Let me take this up while we have it. Because Mr. Weinstein indicated he might try to do this.

In the class action there was a videotape that was used to show the circulation of the air in the aircraft. Obviously we didn't use it, we're not using it with this witness. I just want to make sure that no one talks about -- there was a question posed, it wasn't

Page 2094

How much more do you think you've got in your direct? MR. ENGRAM: Probably about 15 or 20 minutes. THE COURT: Let's take a short break now. And then return. If you can have a seat here so the jury will exit. (Jury exits courtroom.) THE COURT: Please, have a seat. The jury requested coffee. So we made some coffee for them. MR. REILLY: Judge, before you bring them back in, there's one small issue I'd like to MR. WEINSTEIN: How about the lawyers, don't we get coffee? MR. REILLY: I don't know whether --Mr. Weinstein I think is going to cross examine this witness. MR. WEINSTEIN: Probably. MR. REILLY: It's if not you, I'll refer to somebody else. MS. WEINSTEIN: We haven't decided. No, Page 2096

even an accurate question, but there was a question posed, as I recall, in Broin about how much it cost to make the video.

And I would seek a motion in limine or I am making a motion in limine to prevent any discussion of the cost of making the video.

THE COURT: Does the Plaintiff intend to refer to this?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, I was thinking of asking him whether or not he was aware of a video that was prepared at the cost of a quarter of a million dollars.

THE COURT: And what would be the relevance of that?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, that's evidence that they have available, but chose not to bring it before this --

THE COURT: First of all, the cost of it has nothing to do with whether they have it and whether it's available.

MR. WEINSTEIN: I won't mention it. You don't have to rule.

THE COURT: I'm trying to understand what it can be relevant to.

MR. WEINSTEIN: By now, Judge, I can tell

Page 2097

2

3

4

5

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

where you're going, I think.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

THE COURT: Sometimes you can convince me of positions.

MR. WEINSTEIN: I haven't been able to convince you from the day we started this

THE COURT: No, the record will indicate exactly what everybody has said. Luckily we have court reporters.

I don't see the relevance of it, especially if it's not being used now.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay. I understand,

14 THE COURT: So now we're going to have to 15 wait to see if that juror has to leave. 16

Bring them in, please.

17 (Jury enters courtroom.)

THE COURT: Everyone have a seat, please.

19 And we will resume with the direct examination 20 of the witness.

21 BY MR. ENGRAM:

Q. Mr. Sands, before we took the break, we 22

were talking about the air change rate in 23

24 commercial passenger aircraft. And did you prepare

a chart that showed the refresh rates or the air

the first flight was in 1957. So it was, again, it was the very first jet aircraft produced in this country.

O. Why is it important to have these air change rates or refresh rates?

A. Well, the air passing into the passenger 6 7 cabin does a number of things. It heats or cools the passengers and the other equipment and things 8 in the airplane. And it's also responsible for 9 carrying any particulate that may be in the cabin 10 air out of the cabin, either to exit the return 11 12 grills or to be returned through the filtered recirculation system. 13

14 Q. Okay. And just for example, then, if we look at the model that you designed, the 15 environmental control system on the 757, how many 16 air changes every hour on average are on the Boeing 17 18

A. Well, as you see, that airplane undergoes 32 air changes an hour. So every hour the volume of air in the airplane is completely changed out 32 times.

So it's a little easier and when I typically think in terms of the number of minutes between air changes.

Page 2098

change rates of the planes flown by Ms. French?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Again, for the record this is chart entitled, variations in modern aircraft. What are the number of air changes per hour?

Now, let's just orient the jury to the -to this chart and the column on the left side of the chart we have numbers 0, 10, 20, 30, 40; what does that represent?

A. Those correlate to the number of air changes per hour for each of the airplane models across the bottom as listed.

Q. And I note then at the bottom, the seven models flown by Ms. French are listed. But there's not a blue column for the Boeing 707. Can you explain why there's not a column for that?

17 A. Yes, this data was taken from federal 18 government report issued in 1989. And that federal government report did not report this parameter for 19 the 707 model airplane. I do know from my personal 20 21 work experience on that airplane that it's in the 22 same order as these aircraft that are shown.

Q. When was the Boeing 707 first 23 24 manufactured by Boeing?

A. Well, it was in 1955, I believe, maybe

Page 2100

So you see in parenthesis above these 1 blue bars numbers on the order of three minutes down to 1.9 minutes. So that's the time that it takes for the volume of air that's in the cabin to be replaced with another charge of air. 5

Now, you see these numbers ranging roughly from two to three minutes. Contrast that with a typical office building like-this one that we're in now, we might expect this building to have been designed for an air change somewhere between 20 and 60 minutes in this room. That would be typical of an office building.

In your home an air change can take from one to three hours depending on how tightly sealed your house is and how much wind there is and that kind of thing.

Again, contrasting an airplane with a hospital operating room. The hospital operating room would be designed for an air change roughly every six minutes.

So what you see is that the air is changed on the airplane very frequently and very fast. These air change times are very short.

24 Q. Of all the examples that you've just given us -- and I think you can go ahead and take

Page 2104

Page	2	1	0	1

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

17

18

19

your seat back -- but of all the examples that you've just given us, would it be fair to say -and this wouldn't matter whether we're talking about 1976 or 1996, would it?

A. No, that's correct. They're all similar in that way.

Q. So we have airplanes. And from this chart I'm going to just round up on the 757, but what you're telling me and telling the jury is that every two minutes there's a complete change of the air in the aircraft?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, I object, it's clearly leading.

THE COURT: It's leading, but it's really repeating his earlier testimony. So...

MR. WEINSTEIN: And repetitious, judge.

THE COURT: I don't think it's 17 18 objectionable.

19 You can answer.

20 BY MR. ENGRAM:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

25

7

8

11

Q. I'm just trying to summarize this.

22 Airplane, air change on a 757 is how many --

23 happens every how many minutes?

24 A. Approximately every two minutes.

Q. Okay. And then on a Boeing 747, the

Q. Sixty to 180 minutes. Okay.

Mr. Sands, based on your background and experience, do you have an opinion regarding how effective the environmental control system on board the planes flown by Ms. French were at removing environmental tobacco smoke from the cabin?

A. Yes, I would say those systems are very effective at removing the ETS constituents.

Q. What is the basis for your opinion that the environmental control systems are very 10 effective at removing ETS? 11

A. Well, of course my specific design 12 13 experience in looking at all of the numbers and all of the capabilities, the equipment. But that 14 experience is reflected in studies that have been 15 done by the U.S. Government over the years. There are a number of studies, for example, a very 17 detailed study done in 1989 by the U.S. Department 18 of Transportation where measurements were actually 19 20 taken on board aircraft. I believe there were 90 --21

> MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, I object. It's bolstering. He's referring to something that's not in evidence, some --

THE COURT: Okay. He's referring to some

Page 2102

- other end of the spectrum, how often does that 2 change?
- 3 A. Approximately a little over three 4 minutes.
- Q. So the range on the planes flown by 5 Ms. French is every two to three minutes, correct? 6
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. And then you said that the operating
- room -- I'll just put hospital -- every six
- minutes; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, that's right.
- 12 Q. And then you said that an office building
- would be between -- there would be one change every
- 20 to 60 minutes. So that would be either -- 20
- 15 minutes?
- 16 A. So that's one to two and a half times an hour if you want to look at it on an hourly basis. 17
- Q. You're right. But we're looking at it 18 every 20 to 60 minutes? 19
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. Somewhere in that range.
 - And then a home you said would be
- 23

22

- 24 A. Somewhere between one and three hours is
- very typical.

other reports? Studies?

1 2 MR. ENGRAM: I think he referred to the 3 United States Department of Transportation 4

> THE COURT: He was asked, what is the basis for his opinion. So I think he can tell

> MR. WEINSTEIN: I can't-cross examine

MR. ENGRAM: Pardon me?

11 THE COURT: I'm looking at the answer 12

> Well, okay, he's identified the study as a basis for his opinion. Let's go on to another question.

16 BY MR. ENGRAM:

- Q. Okay. Do the -- is the air change rate a factor in your opinion that the environmental control system is effective in removing ETS?
- 20 A. Yes, it really is. The air change rate
- 21 leads to a very high degree of dilution of any
- 22 particulate or any compounds that might be released
- 23 into the air either from smoking or from other
- sources in the passenger cabin. And it's that 24
- dilution, that rapid through flow and dilution that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

gives rise to the capability of the system overall to maintain low levels of cabin air constituents.

- Q. Does the manner in which the air is distributed within the cabin contribute to your opinion?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. How so?

2

3 4

5

6

7

- A. Well, the overall scheme, as we kind of talked about earlier, of the air being delivered up
- 10 overhead and the air being designed to move
- 11 downward through the seating area and then exit the
- 12 floor level is the scheme that allows contaminants
- 13 to be released in one part of the cabin and not be
- 14 found in other parts of the cabin. That air that
- 15 passes downward through the seating area of the
- 16 airplane, then goes out the return grills on the
- 17 Grand Marinian Armid Francis and Air
- 17 floor and the air is not carried forward and aft in
- 18 any significant way. So that's part of the way the19 system deals with contaminants.
- 20 Q. And you're using -- when you said that
- 21 the air is not carried forward or aft, what do you mean by aft?
- 22 mean by ait:

4

5

7

8

9

15

18

- A. Well, if you're standing at any
- 24 particular point in the cabin, you would expect the
- 25 air that's delivered just ahead of you to exit the

- A. No, that's not -- to me does not characterize the way the aircraft works relative to its dealing with environmental tobacco smoke.
- Q. Well, let's say that there was some malfunction in the environmental control system, what would happen from a design perspective if one air conditioning pack was not operating properly?
- 8 A. The air conditioning pacts have flow 9 regulating devices built into them. And those flow regulating devices have different flow settings. 10 11 Typically, the -- those flow regulating valves operate at an intermediate flow mode so if one air conditioning pack turns off or goes to an 13 14 abnormally low flow mode. The other pack in the system would move to a higher flow. Most of the 15 time in that case the equivalent level of flow from 16 the single operating pack is not quite as high as 17 the flow associated with two packs, but it 18 19 approaches it. 20
 - Q. Well, how long would the airline be allowed to operate a plane that had a problem or malfunction with the environmental control system --

MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor -THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection

Page 2106

floor ahead of you and the air that's behind you to
 exit the floor behind you.
 Now, having said that, the fact that

Now, having said that, the fact that there are passengers and people moving up and down the aisle, there is some movement of air forward and aft within a relatively short range, that's caused by those people moving around.

- Q. But the term aft means -- what part of the plane are you referring to?
- A. Backward, toward the back of the plane versus toward the front of the plane.
- Q. Would it be fair to say then that the air
 distribution system prevents the air from drifting
 in the cabin?
 - A. Yes, it's designed to do that.
- Q. Do you hold these opinions to areasonable degree of scientific probability?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Now, there have been claims made that theenvironmental control systems on these aircraft did
- 21 not work particularly well. That the aircraft
- 22 would be filled with clouds of smoke, for example.
- 23 Based on your experience in designing and the
- 24 manufacture of these aircraft, is that an accurate
- 25 statement?

Page 2108

1 BY MR, ENGRAM:

- Q. You're familiar with the FAA regulations,
 correct, as an FAA Designated Engineering
 Representative, Mr. Sands?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. If there were a problem or malfunction in the environmental control system, what do the FAA regulations provide with respect to operation of the plane under those conditions?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: What's your objection?
MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, first it would be hearsay. It's not even in evidence about what some regulation required. And whether or not even it was followed. So --

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

BY MR, ENGRAM:

- Q. Is it possible to operate a plane without a properly operating environmental control system?
- A. No, the FAA regulations require that the airlines --

MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: No, that's the same question.

24 BY MR. ENGRAM:

Q. There's also been a claim made in this

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

case that there was a lot of smoke in the galley in the E zone of the 747. How does the ventilation inthe galleys compare to the ventilation in the main cabin?

A. Well, in the galleys typically there will be extra grills, there's an exhaust system built into the galleys that actually takes the air from the galley and discharges that air overboard. So rather than that air being mixed back in and recirculated back in to the stream that's redelivered to the passenger cabin, the galley area is exhausted.

- Q. So the air in the galley doesn't end up, even on a plane that has recirculation, it doesn't end up in this mixing box that you showed the jury in the diagram?
- A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

18 Q. Given what you understand -- given the 19 design of the environmental control system on these airplanes, there has been a claim made in this trial that when smoking was permitted on the 22 airplanes, environmental tobacco smoke was 23 everywhere in the cabin.

24 Could this condition exist given the design of the environmental control system?

present than if there are three or four smoking rows. So adding more smoking rows doesn't give rise to more smoke at any particular point in the airplane. But it does make the visual image that 5 you see looking down the aisle of the airplane look much, much different. So that's a variable that's dependent on the way the airplane is configured, where the smoking sections are.

It's also largely affected by the lighting in the airplane, whether the lighting is behind you, whether the lighting is ahead of you. So the appearance of smoke is difficult to quantify and is affected by a number of things. It's hard to -- it's hard to pin it down in a real specific

- Q. Well, let's assume for the moment that the smoking section of the cabin of one of the planes that Ms. French flew was filled up with smoke according to the flight attendant. Is there any way for the captain to clear the cabin of smoke?
- A. Yes, the captain can turn on the no-smoking sign. The captain can select the packs to the air conditioning system to a higher flow mode and increase the ventilation rate or speed up

Page 2110

A. Not to any significant degree. The odor from cigarettes could certainly be detected throughout the cabin.

The level of ETS particulate is another story all together. I mean, the system reduces those particulate levels to extremely low level, apart from within the smoking area.

- Q. Well, what if the claim was made that the smoke was so thick in the cabin it was hard to see from the back jump seat to the front of the plane; could that condition exist given the design of the environmental control system?
- A. Well, my personal experience is I've 14 never been -- and I've been on smoking flights --I've never been able to not see the length of the cabin. Of course my knowledge of the design tells me that it's improbable that you could ever get that much smoke in a cabin.

Having said that, the lighting conditions in the airplane and the number of rows involved in the smoking section do give rise to a different perception of how much smoke is in the air. If you've got one or two smoking rows looking through from one end of the airplane to the other, you get a much different sense for how much smoke is

Page 2112

the air changes.

- Q. What about on a recirculating type of system; is there anything else that he can do?
- A. Yes, he or she could also turn the recirculation fans off causing the packs to go to a higher flow mode and cause the cabin to clear a little faster.
- Q. Assuming that the captain turned on the no-smoking sign, how long would it take on an airplane to clear out the air, including the smoke, in the cabin?
- A. Well, those air change rates tell you -tell us the number of minutes that it takes for the volume of air in the airplane to be completely changed out. So as you see, it's on the order of a few minutes, two or three minutes.

MR. ENGRAM: Thank you, Mr. Sands. Your witness.

THE COURT: Okay, cross examination. **CROSS EXAMINATION**

BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

Q. Afternoon, Mr. Sands. I know the hour is kind of late and I know a lot of people aren't going to be too happy if I'm long. I'll try not to be. So you'll please excuse me if I get to some

2

3

5

8

9

1 basics.

2

3

4

5

6

7

17

3

My background is law and it's not engineering. So you'll please excuse me if I ask a few simple elementary, maybe common sense questions?

- A. Sure.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Would you like me to come down there so I 8 can look at this chart with you. 9
- 10 Q. Sure. Absolutely. Let's take a look at the chart. Incidentally, before we take a look at 11 the chart. You testified before at the request of the tobacco companies, haven't you?
- 14 A. Yes, I have.
- 15 Q. We've seen you in other cases involving flight attendants, correct?
 - A. I did testify in one other case, yes.
- Q. And you've been paid, I'm going to make 18
- it as short as I can. Just a lot of witnesses have 19
- been asked this question. Overall, just tell us
- 21 the bottom line how much money you've been paid by
- the tobacco companies?
- 23 A. Something on the order of \$20,000.
- Q. Now, I'm -- and incidentally you 24
- anticipate testifying again?

- where -- that's not what it looks like, is it?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. What's not correct?
- A. The aisle by comparison would be narrower.
- Q. So this really is a misconception, it's 6 misleading, this diagram, isn't it, Mr. Sands?
 - A. Yes, in that regard I think you could say it is.
- 10 Q. They want to show this big open area 11 where you can have all this air flow, right?
- You're smiling, right? They want to just sort of 12 let everybody think, here, you've got this big open 13
- place and it's being -- the air being scooped out 14 of the plane like a big vacuum machine, right? 15
- 16 THE COURT: Are you asking a question?
- THE WITNESS: Is the question is whether 17 18 they are trying to show that this is depicting
- something about a vacuum cleaner? 19
- BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 20
- Q. I'm trying to show that they're depicting 21
- 22 something that doesn't exist, right?
- A. The way the picture is drawn, the aisle 23
- 24 width is wider in this perspective than in a real plane.

Page 2114

6

7

18

- A. I don't know about that. I haven't been 1 2 told.
 - Q. Have you discussed that?
- A. No, I have not. 4
- Q. Let me ask you some questions now. 5
- I happen to look at this -- did you draw 6 this diagram? 7
- 8 A, Yes.
- Q. You drew this diagram? 9
- A. I sketched it out and some professional 10
- graphics firm transformed it into this nice 11
- 12 picture.

13

14

- Q. Okay. I'm only asking because it sort of doesn't look exactly like the airplanes I've been
- on. So maybe we'll think -- I see this big aisle 15 here. I mean, I have problems getting my little 16
- luggage through, you're shaking your head, is that 17
- correct? 18 19
- A. Yes. 20 Q. Now what we've got here is some seats,
- and I'm going to take my pen, you know, and sort of
- like measure the width of the seat. If we look at 22
- the aisles we've got 1, 2, 3, we've got about 4 and
- a half to 5 -- the aisle is like five times the 24
- width of the seat showing this big expanse out here

- Q. Everybody is a lot more pushed together 1
- and closer. In fact, this person here, if he's 2
- smoking and the persons over here are not smoking, 3
- they're real close together, aren't they? About 4
- 5 this wide is the aisle, right?
 - A. Yes, it's about that wide. Yes.
 - O. And on the diagram, which is a lot
- 8 smaller, when I said about this wide, I mean, the
- 9 diagram itself is even wider than the aisle. And
- we know this circle here they're trying to depict
- the width of the entire, well, what do you call it? 11 12
 - A. That's the fuselage.
- 13 Q. The fuselage. Well, this is an awful lot smaller than the actual fuselage, right?
- 14
- 15 A. Yes, this is a schematic intended to show the major parts of the environmental control 16
- system. It's not designed --17
 - Q. It's what --
- 19 THE COURT: Let him finish his answer.
- 20 MR. WEINSTEIN: You're right, judge.
- 21 THE WITNESS: It's not designed to show
- the actual dimensions of the airplane. 22
- 23 BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
- 24 Q. That's what some people call a schematic
- 25 misrepresentation, isn't it?

Page 2117

- A. No, I don't believe it.
- Q. Well, you just said that this aisle is, admitted, five times larger at least than what it actually is in comparison, correct?
- 5 A. I repeat, the picture is not intending to 6 show the dimensions across the cabin. It's not accurate in that regard. The picture is intended 7 to show the basic parts of the environmental 9 control system where the duct work goes, where parts are installed and basically the way the air 11
- Q. Yeah, you also left out a little smoke 12 there too, didn't you? You left out some smoke, 13 too, didn't you? You didn't put in the smoke?
- 15 A. We weren't intending to show the presence 16 of smoke in this picture.
- 17 Q. And matter of fact, you were talking about some -- something about the quality, how 18 well, how it -- it's sort of recirculated every
- minute or two according to what you're saying,
- 21 right?

2 3

4

- 22 A. I didn't say a minute.
- 23 Q. Tell me what you said.
- 24 A. I said between two and three minutes the
- 25 volume of air in the airplane is changed out with

- Q. You agree that based on your -- you're a ventilation expert, correct?
- A. Yes.

3

8

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

8

16

- 4 Q. And in becoming an expert in that area, you've got to know what you're dealing with, what you want to ventilate in and out of the container, correct?
 - A. Yes, that's right.
- 9 Q. And you know it's in your expertise that tobacco smoke is an irritant, correct? 10
- A. Yes. Now, wait a minute. That's not within my expertise. My expertise is the technical 12 aspects of the design. I can't -- I have my own opinion about whether tobacco smoke is irritating or not. But it's not within my technical area to say --
 - Q. In your own, please, excuse me, if I sort of run over your -- I should let you finish and I apologize.

In your own opinion, it is an irritant, isn't it?

- A. Yes.
- 23 MR. ENGRAM: Your Honor, I object, 24 calling for personal opinion.
 - THE COURT: He's already stated it once

Page 2118

Page 2120

- another volume of air.
- 2 Q. And after all of you -- and you had that information before it was banned, smoking was banned, correct?
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And they banned smoking on the airplane, 6 didn't they?
- 8 A. Yes, they did.
- 9 Q. And that's after they had this so-called information that you are telling this jury, 10
- 11

12

- A. Yes, that's right.
- 13 Q. And you're happy they banned it, aren't 14 you?
- 15 A. Yeah, I think the cabin environment is generally more comfortable without environmental 16 17 tobacco smoke.
- 18 Q. And you testified in the past that you 19 were happy they banned it?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Because you knew it was really quite an irritant; you testified to that, didn't you? 22
- 23 MR. ENGRAM: Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Sustained. 24
- BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

- before. Let's go to the next question 1
- 2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
- 3 Q. Incidentally, you came from eastern
- Oregon? 5
 - A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Flew here -- you came from a remote part of Oregon to testify here again really, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. I don't want you to be uncomfortable, so 10 maybe you can --
- A. Want me to sit down again? 11
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 And you know, and you helped, I guess, to 14 draw up all these sort of exotic diagrams and they prepared it for you, didn't they? 15
 - A. Yes.
- 17 Q. The tobacco lawyers, right? Or the 18 people that work for them, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now, of course, as an expert you also in
- 21 learning how to and trying to ventilate the system
- to try to keep all these -- the contaminants from 22
- 23 the people on the aircraft, you certainly would
- 24 want to know the environmental conditions, that is,
- how many people generally would be on an aircraft

Page 2121

- smoking, correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

15

- Q. And that information is within your expertise and knowledge, correct?
- A. I do have knowledge of data sources that do characterize the number of smokers on an airplane. And those are the kinds of things that an aircraft ventilation system designer is required to know.
- Q. And one of the things that you know statistically in the '80s, from '76 throughout the entire '80s to the '90s on the average one-third, one-third of the people on the aircraft generally were known to be smokers, correct?
 - A. Yes, that's my understanding.
- Q. Tell me how many -- well, let's take one of these exotic diagrams. A 707, how many people are on a 707?
- 19 A. Roughly 170, 180 maybe on the upper end.
- Q. So we'll take, you said a third, a third
- 21 of 180, that's how much?
- 22 A. That would be 60.
- 23 Q. Sixty smokers on there, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Now 60 smokers all at once, some may be

- 1 Q. I said I wasn't an engineer, but I took 2 arithmetic.
- Now we have 767. How many people on a 4 767?
- 5 A. 210 approximately.
 - O. That's 70 people smoking, correct?
- 7 A. Did you say smoking?
 - Q. Smokers?

6

8

15

25

2

6

13

14

18

19

- 9 A. Smokers, there may be as many as 70 smokers.
- 11 Q. Right. There may be. And of course 12 there's nobody on that airplane saying, listen, all 13 you 70 people only two can smoke at a time, right? 14 There's no one on there telling them that?
 - A. No.
- Q. In fact, they're probably all smoking,
 probably a lot of them are smoking in this aircraft
 at will, nobody preventing them, right?
- A. With the exception of the times when the no-smoking light might be turned on.
- Q. And that may have happened pretty
 frequently, because of people gasping for breath,
 correct?
- A. No, I don't know that to be the case.
 - Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. 747, how

Page 2122

- smoking, some may not. But if they're smokers,
- they're usually sitting in the smokers section if
- 3 they want to smoke, correct?
 - A. I suspect that's true.
 - Q. And you're designing this system and you
- 6 know about one-third in those days -- we know
- 7 people don't smoke as much now, I realize that.
- 8 But in those days up to 1990 from '76 I know you've
- 9 testified before a third are smokers?
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. How many people, seats are there on a
- 12 727?

4

5

- 13 A. Again, roughly 175.
- 14 Q. 175?
- 15 A. 180, just call it 180.
- Q. Sixty smokers assuming that everybody --that it's filled.
- 18 How many smokers are there on an MD 80?
- 19 A. Depending which model. It's a smaller 20 airplane. Let's characterize it at 150.
- 21 Q. All right. So there would be about 50
- 22 people smoking, smokers on there?
 - A. Approximately, yes.
- Q. It's an easy number, a third?
- 25 A. Yeah.

23

Page 2124

- 1 many people?
 - A. 360.
- 3 Q. 360. That's 120 -- I'm getting better.
- 4 120 people smoking at once, right? Are smokers on
- 5 the plane?
 - A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And they all could smoke at the same
- 8 time, nobody to prevent them, correct?
- 9 A. Theoretically I suppose that could be 10 true.
- 11 Q. I know you're -- here's a big one, 1011,
- 12 how many people on a 1011?
 - A. Again, that's approximately 300.
 - Q. So we've got another 100?
- 15 A. Yep.
- Q. 100 people smoking that could smoke allat once, right?

Now I realize -- okay, 757?

- A. 175 again, call it 180, gives you good
- 20 numbers.
- Q. Now, if we take the 1011 with 100 people that are smoking at once and not being told, you
- 23 know, that they can't. If I understand your
- 24 testimony, you're saying that if all these 100
- 25 people are smoking and the smoke is coming up, why

Page 2125

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

25

11

12

- we've got this big every minute or less than that, all this smoke is being wooshed right out and 2 nobody is being affected; is that your testimony? 3
 - A. No, that's not.
- 5 O. Okay.

4

- 6 A. I didn't say the smoke is wooshed out.
- And I don't know what your hand gesture suggests, 7
- Q. The point is, at least from your 9 testimony, you said it's replaced. All the air is 10 being replaced, how often did you say, how quick?
- 12 A. Two to three minutes.
- 13 Q. So in two to three minutes all the smoke 14 from 100 people, it's all fresh again, right?
- A. It's been -- if it's recirculated air, it will have been filtered and it will have been mixed with the air from outside the airplane. 17
- Q. Now, you've got it filtered, right? 18
- 19 You've got a filter? 20
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You know, I'm kind of -- the word filter,
- 22 you know, at the end of cigarettes they have a
- 23 filter, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And a smoker that smokes comes through

- Q. That filter, how often has that filter -is that filter changed?
- A. Well, as I testified earlier, it would 3 4 depend on the airplane model and which filter 5 installation we're talking about.
 - Q. You've seen these filters clogged, brown, oozing with tar from the tobacco smoke that has existed in these air cabins, haven't you?

MR. ENGRAM: Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: I've seen filters removed form airplanes that have been filtering everything that's recirculated, including the environmental tobacco smoke constituents

BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

- Q. Before they banned smoking, Mr. Sands, before ban, you've actually gone right up to them with all the gook on it and smelled it, didn't you?
- A. Yes, they do definitely carry a strong 18 19 odor of tobacco.
- 20 Q. That's what I was getting at, had a 21 strong odor, odor of what?
- A. Tobacco smoke. They're very good at 22 23 filtering out those products and they retain a very 24 strong odor.
 - Q. Like on a cigarette?

THE COURT: We've already done that.

2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 3 Q. Now, of course we also know Mr. Engram

4 over there said something about this courtroom. 5 Remember he brought up the subject of this courtroom and the filtering system here? 6

7 A. I don't recall him talking about 8 filtering system in this courtroom.-

Q. But we have a courtroom here and we've 10 got a pretty high ceiling in this courtroom?

A. Uh-huh.

O. Tell the -- how high is this?

13 A. A good 20, 25 feet maybe.

Q. So the smoke would rise up above sort of. 14

15 Tell the jury how high the overhead is -- well, I don't know if I should show you this diagram,

17 because we already said it really doesn't

represent, but how high is the highest point of 18

19 this sort of cylinder?

20 A. It's between six and seven feet.

21 Q. Six and seven feet. And they 22

mentioned -- and they mentioned also about a house,

23 comparing it to a house. Tell the jury how high

24 the average ceiling is to a house? 25

A. Well, it's between seven and eight feet

Page 2126

the filter, right? Those people get sick, even 2 with the filter on it, doesn't it?

3 MR. REILLY: Objection, Your Honor.

4 MR. WEINSTEIN: Hear my question. THE COURT: Overruled, I don't think

5 6 it's really on our particular subject, but

7 I'll overrule it.

8 THE WITNESS: You asked if people who 9 smoke filtered cigarettes get sick?

10 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.

11 MR. ENGRAM: Your Honor, objection. It's

12 beyond the scope of his expertise.

13 BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

Q. How about common sense? Common sense, 14 15 you know, common sense, people who smoke filtered 16 cigarettes get sick?

17 MR. ENGRAM: Your Honor, beyond direct. 18 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Why don't you

19 ask -- I'll sustain the objection about common

20

24

21 I mean, you know, let's go ahead to the 22 questions about the airplane.

23 MR. WEINSTEIN: Now, so, well, I'm asking

him about the filter, judge. BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

typically, sometimes a little higher.

- Q. Most doors are seven feet, if you have a door in a house, it's about eight feet, isn't it, in a house, about eight feet?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4 5

6

8

10

3

5

6

7

8

- Q. So you're not dealing with six feet. And in a house when you've got a -- rooms are generally pretty square, I mean, you've got walls that are -- what's the word you engineers use, perpendicular?
- A. Vertical.
- 11 Q. Vertical.

But we don't, on this diagram here, we've got like this is a cylinder they're being transported in. They don't even have walls that give you extra space for the tobacco smoke to settle in, correct?

A. Now, you're saying the airplane doesn't have walls that you have extra space for the tobacco smoke to settle in? That's what you've asked me to --

Q. Well, what I'm saying is if this was square, I mean, if you have a square room and cross-section, right, you have more area?

- 24 A. You have more volume.
- 25 Q. Volume, correct?

A. Okay.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And blowing out the smoke. And the front
 of the cigarette has got a lot of tobacco smoke
 coming here. And leaning over and maybe serving or
 bringing coffee to somebody, please tell me where
 that smoke -- how does it get around the flight
 attendant before it's sucked out of there; how does
 it do that?
 - A. Yeah, as I said earlier, the general pattern of air flow is for the air to come in up above either in the aisle area, some of it comes in up overhead with the adjustable nozzle and the side wall outlet. Then that air moving downward, it mixes with the air that's in the space immediately surrounding the passengers and it continues to move downward. And then it exits out.
 - Q. Ah, so the smoke -- you're telling the jury that the smoke from the cigarette of a passenger doesn't rise, it goes down; is that what you're telling them?
- A. The smoke that a passenger exhales can
 easily be exhaled in an upward direction. But that
 occurring, the general pattern of air flow in the
 cabin is still in a downward direction.
 - Q. So if -- you agree that without a

Page 2130

- 1 A. You have more volume in the room, that's 2 right.
 - Q. So we now have 100 people smoking, well in an L-1011, or if they're all smoking at the time and we have the shape of the cabin is such that it's really smaller than if it was, you know, square, correct?
 - A. Yes, that's right.
- 9 Q. Uh-huh. So the mere configuration of the 10 cabin lends to the smoke really being more 11 concentrated because it's in a lesser volume area, 12 correct?
- A. No, I think what you -- I'm not quite sure what you're trying to characterize, but in fact, the parameter that's important is where the smoke is relative to the ventilation air that's affecting it.
- Q. And of course you'll agree that if anybody is, well, again, I don't want to lift this up because it's a mischaracterization as you said, but let's assume that, well, let's just do it in words.

23 If a flight attendant is serving people 24 and leaning over, if someone has a cigarette here, 25 sitting here, let's say this is -- all right? Page 2132

- ventilation system the natural consequence oftobacco smoke would be to rise?
 - A. Oh, yes. Oh, absolutely.
 - Q. So now we've got the natural character of the smoke is trying to rise. And then we got your ventilation system pushing it back down, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So the question is, what's a stronger force which might well cause it just to hover there? The force coming up that would naturally cause the smoke to rise and your ventilation system putting it down and it could just sort of settle there, equal force, didn't you engineers know about that equal forces in opposite directions, I heard that somewhere.
 - A. Of course what you maybe don't understand is the concept of buoyancy and the concept of temperature and the way that it affects that buoyancy.

The air flow discharged from a person smoking a cigarette will be roughly at body temperature, maybe little tiny bit warmer. So while that smoke may have enough buoyancy to rise up slightly, overcoming that downward air flow in a very short time, that air and smoke mixture will be

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

6

12

13

mixed. And the temperature will be cooled down in such a way that it no longer has that character of buoyancy that's required to keep it aloft.

So while you may have some amount of smoke that does rise for a bit, in a very short time it will be among those particles that are exiting at floor level.

- Q. In a very short time, eventually, you're saying that it will sort of like go down instead of up, right?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

2

11

12

13

14

12 Q. Tell me why is it that people and flight 13 attendants when they would take their luggage and put it in the overhead and they would open up their 14 luggage it would smell from smoke; how did that 15 smoke get up there if you're saying it went down? 16

A. The odor that -- that's associated with

environmental tobacco smoke is something that you

- 19 can detect at very, very low levels. And virtually anything in the cabin will be subject to -- will be 20 in contact with -- on a smoking flight with 21 relatively low levels. Maybe, maybe at higher 22 23 levels if it's down in the passenger cabin where 24 the smoke levels are higher.
- 25 Q. You don't dispute that the luggage would

- times, the smoke may rise up, particularly if 1 2 the passenger exhales in an upward direction, 3 of course it's propelled upward.
 - BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
- Q. Then we have what you call what is like a 5 fighting force of the tobacco naturally wanting to 6 7 go up, being propelled up and your ventilation system pulling it back down? 8 A. The tobacco doesn't have any inherent
 - property making it want to go up. The particles, when they're exhaled or when they're liberated from the tip of the cigarette will typically be warmer than the ambient air. And it takes a short period of time for those particles to cool down and lose that buoyant effect.
 - Q. Could the particles be that which gave it the odor, you know, the odor in the luggage, could it be those particles?
- 19 A. Well, some aspect of that environmental 20 tobacco smoke certainly carries the odor. I'm not 21 an expert in ETS constituents, so I would have to refrain from drawing any conclusion about what 22 23 actually causes the odor.
- Q. But you mentioned particles, that would 24 25 have the odor, right?

Page 2134

smell from tobacco smoke?

- A. No, I don't dispute that a bit.
- 3 Q. So we got odor that got up there, but not 4 the smoke, right? Is that your testimony?
- 5 A. As I said, some amount of that smoke can go up for a short period of time. What I also said 6 7 is that after a short period of time it would be carried out. So it's not like the smoke goes up 9 there and just hides or remains. It may -- it may 10 pass upward before it finds its way out.
 - Q. So it goes up to about as high as six feet then starts coming back down after it's gone through the people and their nostrils and maybe even sinuses, gone up there, and then comes right

15 back down through them again, right? MR. REILLY: Objection, Your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Well, what's the objection? 18 MR. WEINSTEIN: Could you answer that? 19 MR. ENGRAM: Your Honor, the objection is 20 it's beyond the scope of this witness' 21 expertise.

22 THE COURT: I don't know about that. But 23 it's certainly not a real clear question.

24 But you can answer it if you can. 25

THE WITNESS: As we've said a number of

Page 2136

- 1 A. That's what I'm saying. I'm not telling 2 you what part of ETS carries the odor.
- 3 Q. And of course you don't know what affect 4 particles, minute particles have on the nose and 5 the eyes and the sinuses, do you?
 - A. No, no, I'm not a doctor.
- 7 O. Do you know anything about carbon 8 monoxide?
- 9 A. I understand what it is from a chemistry 10 standpoint. 11
 - Q. Yes, you know that it's a colorless, odorless poison, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So therefore, if you assume, as we've 15 heard, that carbon monoxide is in tobacco smoke?

16 MR. REILLY: Objection, Your Honor. 17

THE COURT: I don't remember if that was in the evidence. It may have been.

18 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, it was.

19 20 THE COURT: It may have been

21 BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

22 Q. If we assume that, even though we see 23

smoke in tobacco smoke, there's something else there we can't even see, carbon monoxide, because

25 it's colorless and odorless. So there's something

Page 2137

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

even more than the smoke that we see, correct? A. Yes, carbon monoxide is present in this room right now. It's present in a number of places

Q. But you're not a physician and you don't know that it kills people, doesn't it?

A. No, I can't speak to its health effects.

Q. And you remember, don't you, that you could, noticeably, in the air during the years when 9 you were in the smoking areas in flights, you could 10 see the smoke, couldn't you?

12 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

18

1

2

10

13

13 Q. And that was true when you flew in the 14 '80s, correct?

A. Yes.

16 Q. And the ban that took place around 1990, 17 you no longer saw that condition, correct?

A. That's correct.

19 Q. And you were a smoker yourself in those

20 days, weren't you?

21 A. Yes, I was.

22 Q. And you guit for health reasons?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And you've experienced burning of your

25 eyes because it was an irritant to you?

with -- well, I'll explain it.

(A bench conference occurred as follows:) 2 3 THE COURT: Now, it was going to come up

through another witness, but it sounds like the same question.

MR. WEINSTEIN: I was going to ask him if he witnessed many times -- I know he's testified to this -- on the outside skins, stains, which he identified as having a tobacco smell.

THE COURT: Is there an objection? MR. ENGRAM: Yes, Your Honor. Number one, it's beyond the scope of his direct. He didn't talk anything about the exterior.

THE COURT: I don't believe it's beyond the scope because he's talking about ventilation skin.

Any other objections?

MR. ENGRAM: Your Honor, the Court's prior ruling I understood to be that if there was no discussion of the outflow valve that this wasn't going to come in.

23 THE COURT: Well, and we stayed away from 24 that.

MR. McCUE: Your Honor, also with regard

Page 2138

A. Yes, I find it objectionable.

Q. Have you learned or heard about whether or not pilots were requested to turn on the no-smoking signs pretty frequently, huh? 4

A. Well, I don't know about frequency, but I do know it's among the things that flight attendants do ask for, was anyway.

8 Q. Right. And they would request it, well, 9 you know why they would request it, right?

A. Yes.

11 Q. Can we agree that filters still allow toxins and smoke to come through them? 12

A. Some fraction of those things do pass 14 through the filter, yes.

Q. And as a matter of fact, you yourself, in 15 your expertise, you yourself have witnessed on the 16 17 outside skin --

MR. ENGRAM: Objection, Your Honor. 18 19 Irrelevant, beyond the scope of the direct.

20 And it's subject to a prior conference with 21 the Court.

22 THE COURT: Yes. You were going to ask 23 to approach the bench if you wanted to bring 24 this up. Do you remember? 25

MR. WEINSTEIN: I thought it had to do

Page 2140

to what was argued in the motions in limine talking about when these photographs were taken.

THE COURT: We're not talking about the same witness. I realize it's the same question. I don't believe it's beyond the scope of the direct because if you're talking about the ventilation systems, I guess it's part of the ventilation system. And to just ask if there was stuff that came out and he saw it and it smelled like tobacco. I think I'll let it in.

But while you're here. We need to bring this to a close as soon as possible, if possible. If you need more time, I might have to ask him to come back tomorrow. It's quarter to 6:00. I don't want to have to do that. How much more time do you think you

MR. WEINSTEIN: Ten, 15 minutes. THE COURT: Okay. Aye, aye, aye. (The bench conference ended.) THE COURT: Let's go ahead.

24 BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

25 Q. As I was asking, as I was saying, you

Page 2141

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

1

2

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

21

have seen these outflow valves? 1

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

9

10

O. And tell -- when smoking was permitted, tell the jury what it looked like.

A. Beyond the outflow valve of the airplane it's typical -- it was typical before smoking was disallowed. And it's still typical to see some staining of the aircraft skin. And that staining is the consequence of particulate that's in the cabin air being deposited on the skin as the air pressure drops drastically with the air exiting that valve. So the --

THE COURT: The skin of the airplane you're talking about?

THE WITNESS: That's right. So that the air flow being discharged from that valve is the air that's exhausted from the lavatories, the air that's exhausted from the galleys. And typically airplanes have a system that

carries a duct work system that brings that exhaust air down to the outflow valve.

So what you see on the outside of the airplane is whatever deposits, whatever particulate was in the cabin air and the lavatory and the galley air, it's

Q. Generally speaking, in a house where a family lives you might have one person smoking or two persons at most, correct?

A. Um, I would --

THE COURT: This is -- you can make some argument later about this, but it really isn't within his expertise about how many people smoked in a house.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, there is a lot of difference between the number of smokers on an airplane and the concentration of the tobacco because of the numbers as compared to a home where you might have one or two persons.

MR. ENGRAM: Your Honor, same objection. 14 15 It's beyond the scope.

16 THE WITNESS: No, that's not true. MR. WEINSTEIN: I'll withdraw the 17 18 question, judge.

19 MR. WEINSTEIN: Judge, I just have to 20 review my notes.

THE COURT: You may. You certainly may. MR. WEINSTEIN: Judge, may I just consult for a moment?

24 THE COURT: Of course. With your 25 colleagues, you may.

Page 2142

characteristically deposited on the aircraft 1 2 skin just beyond that valve.

3 BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

4 Q. Really my bottom line question was: When 5 smoking was permitted it would be very colored and 6 brown, correct? It would be darkened, correct?

7 A. Yes, just as it is today. It's 8 characteristically brown even now.

Q. Oh, is that right?

A. Yes, it is.

11 Q. Do you recall, won't you admit that since 12 smoking was banned these outflow valves are not as

dirty or as stained as they were when smoking was 13 14 permitted?

15 A. Yes, there's less of that staining now.

16 Q. And you've testified -- you've admitted that before, haven't you? 17

18 A. Yeah, that's a fact.

MR. REILLY: Objection.

19

20 THE COURT: Sustained. But he's already 21 said it.

22 BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

Q. And, of course, Mr. Engram was talking 23 about a house, right, and ventilation? 24

25 A. Yes. Page 2144

MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you, judge.

I do have a lot more questions, but I'm

3 not going to ask them, judge.

4 THE COURT: Good advice from your 5 colleagues.

6 Is there anything further from the 7 defense?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ENGRAM:

Q. Just a couple of points on redirect.

Mr. Sands, on cross examination you were asked if you had information from the '80s and '90s or knowledge of data sources that characterize the numbers of smokers on airplanes. Do you remember that question?

A. Yes, I do.

17 Q. In 1989 was there a study conducted by 18 the United States Government that actually counted 19 the number of smokers compared to the number of 20 passengers?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: What's your objection? 23

MR. WEINSTEIN: '89, that's when it was 24 banned.

25 THE COURT: The study may have been in

Page 2147 Page 2145 I'll have to look it up specifically. 1 '89. Did it reflect some other years? 1 MR. WEINSTEIN: Judge, may I approach? 2 MR. REILLY: In '89 there was only a 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 3 partial ban in effect. (A bench conference occurred as follows:) 4 4 THE COURT: Just make it clear what the 5 MR. WEINSTEIN: Judge, he cannot take 5 study -- the period of time that the study was 6 6 now, after I asked him questions that he had focused on. 7 MR. ENGRAM: Yes, Your Honor. 7 previously testified on a study, not of a 8 study of what the percentage was he previously 8 THE COURT: If that's clear, I don't 9 testified to a third. 9 know. 10 Now what he's doing, judge, is he's 10 BY MR. ENGRAM: Q. Mr. Sands, you're familiar with the 1989 trying to use him as a conduit for some study 11 that is hearsay bringing up a different study. 12 U.S. DOT airliner study, correct? 12 He might be able to ask the information where 13 A. Yes, I am. 13 14 Q. Did that -- how many flights did that 14 he got the one-third and all that where he got the information from. But now he's bringing 15 study measure environmental tobacco smoke on? 15 in a new study that I can't cross examine. 16 A. I believe there were --16 MR. ENGRAM: You opened the door to it. 17 MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor. 17 18 18 MR. WEINSTEIN: It's hearsay. THE COURT: Do we know the period of time THE COURT: But you asked this question 19 that it was measured? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. It was measured in --20 do you have any information about how many, what percentage of people are smokers. And he 21 the measurements were taken in 1988 and 1989. 21 said, yes, he had, the third or whatever it 22 22 MR. WEINSTEIN: But again, Judge, my 23 23 objection is on another ground. was. So what does this show? 24 24 THE COURT: What? MR. ENGRAM: I want to show that they 25 MR. WEINSTEIN: Any study like that which 25 measured smoking on 69 flights, combination of Page 2146 Page 2148 1 wasn't brought up -domestic and international, that there was an 1 THE COURT: No, you asked a question 2 2 average number of smokers on flights and what 3 about this and so I'm going to let him answer. 3 the average numbers of passenger --4 You asked the question about what percentage 4 THE COURT: What was the percentage? 5 of smokers based on studies and so on. So go 5 MR, ENGRAM: 13.7. 6 ahead. 6 THE COURT: So, I mean, what was the 7 7 BY MR. ENGRAM: other study that's the one-third? 8 8 Q. How many flights did they measure MR. ENGRAM: There isn't another study. constituents of environmental tobacco smoke on, the 9 MR. WEINSTEIN: I'll show you exactly 10 U.S. Government measure? 10 what it was. 11 A. It was on the order of 60 smoking 11 THE COURT: What did he base his 12 flights. 12 testimony on a third? 13 Q. I'll hand you the study. It's been 13 MR. ENGRAM: On his statement about a marked for purposes of identification as Defense 14 14 third, the range did include the third. Exhibit 2123. 15 15 THE COURT: Since you brought this up 16 A. Okay. 16 about the third. 17 MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, may we 17 MR. WEINSTEIN: I'll show you exactly 18 approach the bench? He's handing him -where he got the third from, judge. 18 19 THE COURT: He can look at it. It's for 19 THE COURT: It doesn't matter because he 20 ID. He's not talking about it right now. 20 can ask him about other studies. 21 But what is your question? 21 MR. WEINSTEIN: I didn't bring up a 22 MR. ENGRAM: My question is going ask 22 study. Judge, let me show you. 23 that he be more specific than 60 something 23 THE COURT: No, because you asked him 24 flights. 24 this question based on his information how

25

many people smoked.

THE WITNESS: I believe it was 62. But

25

Pa_k

Page 2149

MR. WEINSTEIN: Here. 1 MR. ENGRAM: And you specifically said 2 3 studies from the '80s. 4 MR. WEINSTEIN: Let's be precise here, 5 judge. 6 THE COURT: Well, we've got the record of 7 what you asked. 8 MR. WEINSTEIN: Here is what he said. 9 "Well, I know from the research done by the

National Academy that the time frame in the '80s tended to be a third or 33 percent or so on flying passengers."

THE COURT: You're looking at his prior testimony. The question that you asked him here was: Do you have some studies or something that show how many people smoked? He said: Yes, a third.

But now I'm going to let the Defendants refer to some other studies if it's a different measurement.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Can I go back and say when you said a third you were basing it on National Academy, I should be able to do that.

THE COURT: Maybe I'll see what the question is.

course, was a research program that involved a wik

range of aircraft, some of them narrow-body

aircraft and some of them wide-body aircraft. 3

747s, 757s. So, but anyway, I think the largest 4 5 number of smokers they found was 63.

6 Q. And the average, either the average number of smokers per flight or the average number, 7 percentage of smokers on the flight, do you have 8 9 that information?

A. Yes, it was less than 20 percent at that 10 11 time.

12 THE COURT: Okay, I hope there isn't too 13 much more. It's already close to 6:00.

MR. ENGRAM: Yes, Your Honor. 14

BY MR. ENGRAM: 15

16 O. The fact that you were asked some questions about the filters and the staining on the 17 aircraft skin, what does this show about the

18 19 effectiveness of the filters and the ventilation

20 systems on board aircraft when smoking was allowed?

A. Well, those filters do collect a lot of particulate. They do a very good job of filtering 22

23 and they do get dirty.

21

6

7

8

9

10

11

21

23

24

24 Q. And if there is particulate on the skin 25 or on the filter, what does that say about how

Page 2150

(The bench conference ended.) THE COURT: Okay.

3 BY MR. ENGRAM:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

Q. Mr. Sands, how many smoking flights did the U.S. Government measure smoking on?

A. I'm sorry, the entire report that we were referring to is not here and so I can't confirm the number, but I know it to be over 60. So I know in the entire study there were 92 flights and I know

that the study involved over 60 smoking flights. 10

So roughly two-thirds of the flights were smoking 11 12 flights.

> MR. WEINSTEIN: Judge, can we find out the year.

THE COURT: He's already said 1989.

16 THE WITNESS: The report was done in 1989 17 and the data was collected prior to that in

1988 and 1989 18 19 BY MR. ENGRAM:

20 Q. And on those flights what were the 21 average number of smokers per flight as reported by 22 the government?

23 A. I recall that the range of numbers of

24 smokers was from a relatively small number of three

all the way out to 62, I believe. So this, of

Page 2152

well, the system is working?

2 A. Well, one could conclude that those 3 particulates are no longer in the cabin air. So to 4 that extent, the system is doing what it's supposed 5 to do.

MR. ENGRAM: Thank you, I have no further questions.

THE COURT: The only thing I'm going to let you do is refer to that other study.

> MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. WEINSTEIN:

13 Q. Sir, isn't it true that you know from 14 research done by the National Academy of Sciences 15 that in the time frame of the '80s it tended to be

a third or 33 percent or so of flying passengers, 16 17 that is, smokers?

18 A. I agree that report did -- the National 19 Academy of Sciences' report from 1986 did report

20 approximately a third.

Q. And that was during the '80s?

22 A. Yes.

> MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you. No further questions.

25 THE COURT: Okay. I think that's it.

```
Page 2153
       You're free to go.
1
          Okay, 6:00, we especially have had a long
2
       day. The jurors, I'm sure, feel like they've
3
 4
       had quite a long day.
 5
          So we're going to recess today. And I
 6
       believe we'll start tomorrow at 9:15. And
7
       tomorrow I know that there will be at least
8
       one witness here in person and two witnesses
9
       on videotape. If we get to both of them?
10
          MR, REILLY: I know there will be one
       videotape for sure and one live witness for
11
12
       sure, Your Honor.
13
          THE COURT: We're getting to the end more
14
       or less, slowly, but we're getting there. So
15
       we'll start tomorrow at 9:15.
          Thanks for your attention, please don't
16
       discuss the case with anybody. You're free to
17
18
19
          (Witness excused.)
20
          (Jury exits courtroom.)
21
          THE COURT: What is Dr. Teaf's testimony
22
       about?
23
          MR. ENGRAM: He is a toxicologist at
24
       Florida State.
25
          THE COURT: Okay, so is Dr. Teaf going to
                                             Page 2154
1
        be your first witness? Do you think that will
 2
        be your first witness?
           MR. REILLY: Yes.
 3
 4
           THE COURT: Then we have the tape of
 5
        Stammberger and the tape of Ogden, right.
 6
           MR. REILLY: Well, I know that the -- all
 7
        I know is that the people that are making the
 8
        tape ---
9 .
           THE COURT: They're working hard.
10
           MR. REILLY: -- are working hard.
11
           (Trial adjourned at 6:00 p.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```